Recent Advances in the Green Synthesis of Gold and Silver Nanostructures for Augmented Anti-Microbal Activity

Tashi Tenzin, Amrinder Kaur*

* amrinder.kaur@lpu.co.in

Department of Ayurveda, School of Pharmaceutical Science, Lovely Professional University, Punjab, India

Received: June 2021 Revised: September 2021 Accepted: December 2021

DOI: 10.22068/ijmse.2252

Abstract: Green synthesis refers to the synthesis of nanoparticles using plants and microorganisms. It is preferred over conventional methods because it is sustainable, eco-friendly, cost-effective, and fast. The phytochemicals and enzymes present in plants and microorganisms respectively acts as the reducing and capping agent for the synthesis of nanoparticles. Phytochemicals and enzymes have the ability to reduce precursor metal ions into nanoparticles. As the conventional methods involve the use of high energy and toxic chemicals which are harmful to both environment and organisms, these synthesis methods are discouraged. Of the nanoparticles, gold nanoparticles (AuNPs) and silver nanoparticles (AgNPs) have gained lots of attention owing to their multiple applications and less toxicity. In addition, various in-vitro studies have reported the antimicrobial activity of AgNPs and AuNPs against various microbes. This particular review portrays the methods of nanoparticles synthesis, components of green synthesis, antimicrobial activity, other applications and various factors affecting the green synthesis of AgNPs and AuNPs.

Keywords: Green synthesis, Nanoparticles, AuNPs, AgNPs, Plants, Microorganisms, Antimicrobial activity.

1. INTRODUCTION

The field of nanotechnology has gained tremendous importance in modern materials science [1]. The term "nanotechnology" was first coined by the American physicist Richard Feynman in 1959 [2]. However, the history dates back to the 9th century, when the artisans of Mesopotamia age utilized the gold nanoparticles (less than 100 nm) to produce glittering effects on pots and other utensils [3]. In general, nanotechnology refers to the application of science to control matter at the molecular stage [4]. It is also gaining importance in other areas of modern science like optics, mechanics, chemical industry, electronics, aeronautics, biomedical sciences, drug-gene delivery, catalysis, optoelectronic devices, energy science, and photo-electrochemical applications [4].

Recently, the utilization of nanoparticles, especially gold and silver nanoparticles, has accumulated significant spotlight in nanoscience owing to its multiple physical, chemical, and biological applications [5]. And nanoparticles are synthesized by two major processes top-down and bottom-up processes. The conventional synthesis methods include physical and chemical methods, which are highly discouraged owing to its high production cost, extensive usage of energy, production of chemical waste products that contaminate the environment, and excessive production of hazardous and toxic chemicals that are harmful to the organisms.

Due to its major setbacks, the green synthesis of nanoparticles has gained attention over conventional methods. The green synthesis method is safe, reliable, efficient, eco-friendly, and sustainable [6] (Fig. 1). Multiple applications of nanoparticles are credited for their very small size (<100 nm), distinct morphology, and extensive surface area [7-9].

Green synthesis is defined as reducing precursor metal or metal oxide ions into their respective using the biological nanoparticles by components. It is further divided into two major plant-based categories, synthesis and microorganism-based synthesis [6]. However, the exact mechanism of how nanoparticles are synthesized using the plant is not known. Still, it is claimed that phytochemicals present in the plant extract like ketones, aldehydes, flavones, amides, terpenes, carboxylic acids, phenol, and ascorbic acid reduce precursor metal salts into metal nanoparticles [10, 11]. The phytochemical present in plant extracts acts as both reducing and capping agents, external reducing and capping agents are not needed. While the intracellular enzyme present in microorganisms reduces the



metal ions into their individual metal nanoparticles [12, 13, 14]. Green synthesis is dependent on various factors like the technique of preparation, the concentration of precursor metal salt, pH, temperature, pressure, time, preparation cost, shape, size, pore size, environment, the proximity of other nanoparticles, and other factors [15]. The NPs produced by green synthesis are used in nanomedicine, cancer treatment, antimicrobial agent, DNA analysis, targeted drug delivery, gene treatment, biosensors, and medical imaging [6, 16].

NPs are also associated with certain limitations or challenges such as stability in the hostile environment, bioaccumulations, toxicity, need for skilled operators, and the lack of understanding of the fundamental mechanism and modeling factors [6].


This review focuses on different methods of nanoparticles synthesis, types of green synthesis, its components, mechanism of plant and microorganism based-synthesis, factors affecting green synthesis, anti-microbial activity, other biomedical applications, and recent advances in the green synthesis of Au and Ag nanoparticles.



Fig. 1. Advantages of green synthesis

1.1. Different methods of NPs synthesis

The synthesis of nanoparticles is done by two major approaches, the top-down approach and the bottom- up approach [6]. The top-down approach involves the breaking down the bulk material into nanosized structures or particles. In a top- down



The bottom-up approach is also known as the selfassembly approach. In this, the synthesis of nanoparticles is done by assembling smaller molecules or atoms, thus forming a larger structure (<100 nm).

The methods used in this approach include chemical vapor deposition, laser pyrolysis, spray pyrolysis, sol-gel process, atomic molecular condensation, and aerosol process [19]. It has gained more importance over the top-down approach as it can producehe top-down approach as it can produce the NPs with the homogenous chemical composition and less surface irregularity at a cheaper rate. However, this approach is also associated with its drawbacks. It involves reducing agents, capping agents, nonpolar organic solvent and hazardous chemicals that produce chemical waste and toxic chemicals contaminating reducing agents, capping agents, non-polar organic solvent, and hazardous chemicals that produce chemical waste toxic chemicals contaminating the environment and posing biological hazards. Because of the drawbacks mentioned above, its use in medical and biomedical applications is restricted [20].

Due to the major drawbacks of the two approaches, the green synthesis of NPs has caught the attention of researchers as it is safe, ecofriendly, sustainable, efficient, and cheap compared to conventional chemical and physical methods [6].

Green synthesis involves using biological components to reduce the precursor metal salt ions into nanoparticles. The phytochemicals in plant extract and intracellular enzymes in an organism act as reducing and capping agents [12, 13, 14].






Fig. 2. Different methods of nanoparticles synthesis

1.2. Components used for green synthesis of NPs

The conventional method of green synthesis involves using a highly toxic reducing agent stabilizing agent, and requires a high amount of energy for the synthesis. In addition, it also produces lots of chemical waste, and toxic chemicals that are both detrimental to humans and the environment [18]. While green synthesis of nanoparticles is eco-friendly, rapid, and requires less energy, capable of large-scale productions, cheap and sustainable. And the components used for green synthesis are broadly divided into two main categories plant and microorganism [6]. Different components of green synthesis are shown in Fig. 3.

1.2.1. Plant

Plants have the innate potential to reduce precursor metal salt ions into respective nanoparticles. Various parts of the plant such as leaf, flower, fruit, bark, stem, and root extract are used to reduce metal and metal oxide ions.

Since this method is simple, eco-friendly, efficient, sustainable, and cost-effective, it is preferred over chemical and physical methods [6].




Fig. 3. Different biological components of green synthesis of nanoparticles.



Plant extractions contain biological molecules such as enzymes, flavones, ascorbic acid, oxalic acid, carboxylic acid, terpenoids, glycosides, carbohydrates, polyols, thiamine, proteins, amino acids, polysaccharides, flavonoids and vitamins, which are environmentally benign. These molecules play a major role in reducing and stabilizing metal nanoparticles [10, 11].

Recently, researchers have shifted their focus to synthesise nanoparticles using plant as the phytochemical present in it acts as both reducing and capping agent along with biomolecules present in it whereby use of toxic chemicals are not required [12, 13, 14]. Many researches have been done to date on ex-vivo (outside living plant) synthesis of NPs, while synthesis can also be done in-vivo (inside living plant) by the reduction of absorbing precursor metal or metal oxide ions into soluble salt.

Gold and silver nanoparticles have gained more attention due to their efficiency and diverse applications in various fields. And the synthesis of Au and Ag nanoparticles was first investigated in plant extract-based synthesis [6]. Different plants used for the synthesis of Au and Ag nanoparticles and morphology and size are shown in table 1 and table 2, respectively.

1.2.2. Microorganism

This method involves using biological components, namely bacteria, fungus, and yeast. Other studies reported the synthesis via viruses, agricultural waste, food waste, microbes, and biopolymers [85].

1.2.2.1 Bacteria

The use of bacteria has gained importance in various fields like genetic engineering, targeteddrug delivery, bioremediation, and bioleaching [86]. Bacteria can reduce the precursor metal salt ions into metal nanoparticles. Bacteria as the biological components for synthesis has been a promising biological reductant for the preparation of nanoparticles [87].

Different varieties of bacterial species are made into use for the synthesis. Of various species used, actinomycetes and prokaryotic bacteria have been prominent for nanoparticle synthesis due to its versatility, easy manipulation, and efficiency [88].

Commonly used bacterial species for the synthesis of AgNPs includes Lactobacillus casei,

E. coli, Aeromonas sp. SH10, Phaeocystis antarctica, Arthrobacter gangotriensis, Geobacter spp., Corynebacterium sp. SH09 and Shewanella oneidensis. Table 3 shows the bacterial species used to synthesize AgNPs with distinct sizes and morphology. For the preparation of AuNPs, different bacterial species such as Desulfovibrio desulfuricans. E. coli DH5a, Rhodopseudomonas capsulate, Bacillus megaterium D01, Bacillus subtilis 168, Plectonema boryanum UTEX 485, and Shewanella alga have been widely used. Table 4 shows different bacterial species used for the synthesis of AuNPs with distinct sizes and morphology.

1.2.2.1 Fungi

Besides bacteria, fungi also served as the efficient component for the synthesis of gold and silver nanoparticles with definite morphologies and sizes. Due to avariety of intracellular enzymes, fungi serve as the better synthesis component of metal and metal oxide nanoparticles [89]. The study also reported that competent fungi can be better than bacteria in terms of the amount and efficiency of synthesis of NPs [90].

Fungi-mediated synthesis of NPs has many advantages over other microorganisms due to the presence of enzymes, reductants, and proteins on the surface of their cell [91]. However, the actual mechanism of fungi-mediated synthesis of NPs is not known, but researchers and scholars believe that formation is due to enzymatic reduction in the cell wall or inside the fungal cell. Tables 3 and 4 summarize published research on the synthesis of silver and gold nanoparticles using fungi.

1.2.2.2 Yeast

Yeasts are single-celled microorganisms present in eukaryotic cells. A total of 1500 yeast species have been identified [92]. The successful synthesis of nanoparticles/ nanomaterials via yeast has been reported by numerous research groups. The biosynthesis of silver and gold nanoparticles by a silver-tolerant yeast strain and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* broth has been reported [93]. Table 3 and 4 show the varieties of yeast species used to synthesize AgNPs and AuNPs with distinct size and morphology.



4

1 Abelmoschus sculantus Seed Spherical 45–75 (Jayascelan et al., 2013) 2 Aerva lanata Leaf Mostly Spherical 17.97 (Joseph et al., 2015) 3 Chenopodium albam Leaf Spherical Various (Dwivedi et al., 2010) 4 Clinamonum Bark, Leaf Spherical 16.4 (Guo et al., 2015) 5 Eucomnia ulmoides Bark Spherical 16.4 (Guo et al., 2015) 6 Curcuma preudomontana Seed Spherical 20 (Maniyappan & Nagrijan, 2014) 7 Geranium Leaf Variable 8–20 (Franco-Romano et al., 2014) 8 Hibscurs rosa sinensis Leaf Variable 15–20 (Basavegovad et al., 2014) 10 Horenia dulcis Fruit Spherical 20–50 (Hispa et al., 2014) 11 J. sambac leaves Leaf Spherical 17–20 (Philip, 2010) 12 Krishna tukit Leaf Spherical 17–20 (Philip, 2010)		I able 1. Synthesis of AuNPs using different plant sources					
1 esculentus Secu Spherical 12.7.5 12.013 2 Aerra lanata Leaf Mostly Spherical 17.97 (Joseph et al., 2015) 3 Chrengrodum Leaf Spherical 17.97 (Joseph et al., 2015) 4 Cimamonum Bark, Leaf Nanoprisms & Spheres 2.5 (Smitha et al., 2009) 5 Euconnia ulmoides Bark Spherical 16.4 (Guo et al., 2015) 6 Curcuma Secod Spherical 2.0 (Minispapan & Nagarajan, 2014) 7 Geranium Leaf Variable 8 - 20 (Grance-Romano et al., 2014) 8 stinensis Leaf Variable 15 - 20 (Baswegowd et al., 2015) 10 Hovenia dulcis Fruit Variable 15 - 20 (Buinaway et al., 2014) 11 J. surbac leaves Spherical 20 - 50 (Vallanpa et al., 2014) 11 J. surbac leaves Spherical 17 - 20 (Philip, 2010) 12 Krishna tubi Leaf	Sl. No	Plant source	Part Used	Morphology	Size (nm)	Ref.	
3 Chemopoluum album Leaf Spherical Various (Dwivedi et al., 2010) 4 Cimamonum zeylanicum Bark, Leaf Nanoprisms & Spheres 25 (Smitha et al., 2009) 5 Eucomina ulmoides Bark, Spherical 16.4 (Guo et al., 2015) 6 Precionnatum Seed Spherical 20 (Miniyappan & Nagarajan, 2014) 7 Geranium Leaf Variable 8–20 (Franco-Romano et al., 2014) 8 Bibscus rosa sinessis Leaf Variable 15–20 (Baswegowda et al., 2014) 10 Hovenia dulcis Fruit Variable 15–20 (Baswegowda et al., 2014) 11 J. sambac leaves Leaves Spherical 20–40 (Shanker et al., 2015) 12 Krishna tuki Leaf Spherical 17–20 (Philip, 2010) 15 Magnolia kobus Leaf Spherical 12–20 (Philip, 2010) 15 Magnolia kobus Leaf Spherical 12–20 (Philip, 2010) 15			Seed	Spherical	45-75	2013)	
3 $album$ $cylanicumLearSphericalVarious(Wirela et al., 2009)4cylanicumcylanicumBark, LeafNanoprisms & Spheres25(Simiha et al., 2009)5Eucomia ulmoidesBarkSpherical16.4(Guo et al., 2015)6CurcumaSeedSpherical20(Muriyappan &Magarajan, 2014)7GeraniumLeafVariable8 = -20(Philip, 2010)8Hibiscus rosaLeafVariableVarious(Philip, 2010)9Grape wasteFruitSpherical20 - 25(Krishnaswamy et al., 2014)10Hovenia dulcisFruitVariable15 - 20(Baswegowda et al., 2015)12Krishna tulisLeafSpherical30(Philip, 4Uni, 2011)13LamstianFruit peelTriangular, Hexagonal20 - 40(Shankar et al., 2014)14Mangefera indicaLeafSpherical17 - 20(Philip, 4Uni, 2011)13LamstianFruit peelTriangular, Hexagonal20 - 40(Shankar et al., 2019)16Mape leaf pineneeedleLeafSpherical17 - 20(Whilr, et al., 2009)16Mape leaf pineneeedleLeafSpherical12 - 7 - 20(Shankar et al., 2001)17Morinda ctirifoliaRootSpherical12 - 7 - 20(Shankar et al., 2001)18Mentha piperita-Spherical11 - 30(Ghashar et al., 2005)20Dioscorea bulbiferT$	2	Aerva lanata	Leaf	Mostly Spherical	17.97	(Joseph et al., 2015)	
4zeylanicum zeylanicumBark BarkNanoprisme & Spherical2.5(Simila et al., 2009)5Eucomita ulmoidesBarkSpherical16.4(Guo et al., 2015)6Curcuma pseudomotianaSeedSpherical20(Muniyappan & Magarajan, 2014)7GeraniumLeafVariable $8-20$ (France-Romano et al., 2014)8Hibiccus rosa sinensisLeafVariableVarious(Philip, 2010)9Grape wasteFruitSpherical20-25(Krishnaswary et al., 2014)10Hovenia dulcisFruitVariable15-20(Baswegowda et al., 2014)11J. sambac leavesLeavesSpherical30(Philip, 2010)12Krishna tultiLeafSpherical30(Philip, 2010)13domesticumFruit peelTriangular, Hexagonal20-40(Shankar et al., 2014)14Mangfora indicaLeafSpherical17-20(Philip, 2010)15Magnola kobusLeafSpherical17-20(Philip, 2010)16Mapole leaf pineLeafSpherical11-30(Guo et al., 2005)17Morinda cirifoliaRootSpherical11-30(Shankar et al., 2014)18Meniha piperita-Spherical, triangular20-40(Shankar et al., 2005)20Dioscorea bulfyerTuberSpherical, triangular20-40(Shankar et al., 2007)21Emblica officinalisFruitP	3	album	Leaf	Spherical	Various	(Dwivedi et al., 2010)	
6Curcum pseudomontanaSeedSpherical20(Muniyappan & Nagarajan, 2014)7GerantumLeafVariable8–20(Franco-Romano et al, 2014)8Hibiscus rossLeafVariableVarious(Philip, 2010)9Grape wasteFruitSpherical20–25(Krishnaswany et al, 2014)10Hovenia dulcisFruitVariable15–20(Baswegowdh et al, 	4		Bark, Leaf	Nanoprisms & Spheres	25	(Smitha et al., 2009)	
0pseudomotanaSecuSplit (All20Nagarajan, 2014)7GeraniumLeafVariable8–20(Franco-Romano et al., 2014)8Hibiscus rosa sinensisLeafVariableVarious(Philip, 2010)9Grape wasteFruitSpherical20–25(Krishnaswamy et al., 2014)10Hovenia dulcisFruitVariable15–20(Baavegowda et al., 2014)11J. sambac leavesLeavesSpherical20–40(Yallappe et al., 2015)12Krishna tulsiLeafSpherical30(Philip, 2010)13domesticumFruit peelTriangular, Hexagonal20–40(Shankar et al., 2014)14Margifera indicaLeafSpherical30(Song et al., 2014)15Magnolia kobusLeafSpherical20–40(Shankar et al., 2014)16Margifera indicaLeafSpherical12.17–20(Philip, 2010)17Morinda citrifoliaRootSpherical12.17–20(Chilip, 2010)18Mentha piperita-Spherical15.0(Shankar et al., 2014)19Cymbopogon spLeafSpherical15.0(Ghankar et al., 2014)20Dioscorea bulbiferTuberSpherical15.0(Ankamwar et al., 2014)21Embica officinalisFruitPolyhedral15–25(Ankamwar et al., 2017)22Imaarinda citrifoliaLeafSpherical, triangular20–40(Ankaret al., 2007) <td>5</td> <td>Eucommia ulmoides</td> <td>Bark</td> <td>Spherical</td> <td>16.4</td> <td></td>	5	Eucommia ulmoides	Bark	Spherical	16.4		
1GeraniumLearVariable8–20 $al., 2014$ 8Hibiscus rosa sinensisLeafVariableVarious(Philip, 2010)9Grape wasteFruitSpherical20–25(Krishnaswamy et al., 2014)10Hovenia dulcisFruitVariable15–20(Basavegowch et al., 2014)11J. sambac leavesLeavesSpherical30(Philip, 2010)12Krishna tulsiLeafSpherical30(Philip & Unni, 2011)13LanstumFruit peelTriangular, Hexagonal20–40(Shankar et al., 2014)14Mang(fer indicaLeafSpherical17–20(Philip, 2010)15Magnolia kobusLeafSpherical<100	6		Seed	Spherical	20	Nagarajan, 2014)	
8 sinensis Lear Variable Variable Variable (Prinip, 2010) 9 Grape waste Fruit Spherical 20-25 (Krishnaswamy et al., 2014) 10 Hovenia dulcis Fruit Variable 15-20 (Basavegowda et al., 2014) 11 J. sambac leaves Leaves Spherical 20-50 (Yallappa et al., 2015) 12 Krishna tuki Leaf Spherical 30 (Philip & Unni, 2011) 13 Lansium Fruit peel Triangular, Hexagonal 20-40 (Shankar et al., 2014) 14 Maggifera indica Leaf Spherical 17-20 (Philip, 2010) 15 Magnola kobus Leaf Spherical 12,17- (Suman et al., 2014) 16 <i>Maple leaf pine</i> Leaf Spherical 12,17- (Sumar et al., 2014) 18 Mentha piperita - Spherical 150 (MubarakAli et al., 2011) 20 Dioscorea bulbifer Tuber Spherical 15-25 (Ankamwar et al., 2007)	7	Geranium	Leaf	Variable	8-20		
9Orage wasteFruitSpirical $20-23$ 2014)10Hovenia dulcisFruitVariable15-20(Basavegowda et al., 2014)11J. sambac leavesLeavesSpherical $20-50$ (Yallappa et al., 2015)12Krishna tulsiLeafSpherical30(Philip & Unni, 2011)13JamstiumFruit peelTriangular, Hexagonal $20-40$ (Shankar et al., 2014)14Maggifera indicaLeafSpherical $17-20$ (Philip, 2010)15Maggifera indicaLeafSpherical $17-20$ (Philip, 2010)16Maple leaf pineLeafSpherical $12.17-$ (Suman et al., 2014)18Mentha piperita-Spherical 150 (Sumar et al., 2014)18Mentha piperita-Spherical $11-30$ (Ghosh et al., 2011)20Dioscorea bulbiferTuberSpherical $11-30$ (Ghosh et al., 2014)21Emblica officinalisFruitPolyhedral $15-25$ (Ankamwar et al., 2005)22Tamarindus indicaLeafTriangular $20-40$ (Ankamwar et al., 2007)24Sesbania-Spherical, triangular $55-80$ (Huang et al., 2004)25Cymbopogon-Triangular, truncated triangular, truncated triangular, spherical $4-72$ (Elia et al., 2014)26Salvia officinalisWhole plantSpherical, triangular, truncated triangular, truncated triangular, truncated triangular, truncated 	8		Leaf	Variable	Various	(Philip, 2010)	
10Provent duticitsPrintVariable $13-20$ 2014 11J. sambac leavesLeavesSpherical20-50(Yallappa et al., 2015)12Krishna tukisiLeafSpherical30(Philip & Unni, 2011)13LansiumFruit peelTriangular, Hexagonal20-40(Shankar et al., 2014)14Mangifera indicaLeafSpherical17-20(Philip & Unni, 2011)15Magnolia kobusLeafVariable5-300(Song et al., 2009)16meedleLeafSpherical12.17-(Suman et al., 2014)18Mentha piperita-Spherical150(MubarakAli et al., 2011)19Cymbopogon spLeafSpherical, triangular200-500(Shankar et al., 2005)20Dioscorea bulbiferTuberSpherical, triangular200-500(Shankar et al., 2005)21Emblica officinalisFruitPolyhedral15-25(Ankamwar et al., 2005)22Tamarindus indicaLeafSpherical, triangular20-40(Ankamwar et al., 2007)24Sesbania-Spherical, triangular55-80(Huang et al., 2004)26Salvia officinalisWhole plantSpherical triangular, truncated triangles, pentagons and triangular, truncated triangles, pentagons and hexagons21-70(Shankar et al., 2003)28Lippia citriodoraLeafSpherical35-45(Zayed et al., 2003)30Phoenix dactyliferaLeafSpherical20-200	9	Grape waste	Fruit	Spherical	20-25	2014)	
12Krishna tulsiLeafSpherical30(Philip & Unni, 2011)13Lansium domesticumFruit peelTriangular, Hexagonal $20-40$ (Shankar et al., 2014)14Mangifera indicaLeafSpherical $17-20$ (Philip, 2010)15Magnolic kobusLeafVariable $5-300$ (Song et al., 2009)16Maple leaf pine needleLeafSpherical <100 (Krishnaswany & Orsat, 2015)17Morinda citrifoliaRootSpherical 150 (Kurbanswany & Orsat, 2015)18Mentha piperita-Spherical, triangular $200-500$ (Shankar et al., 2014)18Mentha piperita-Spherical, triangular $200-500$ (Shankar et al., 2015)20Dioscorea bulbiferTuberSpherical $11-30$ (Ghosh et al., 2011)21Emblica officinalisFruitPolyhedral $15-25$ (Ankamwar et al., 2005)22Tamarindus indicaLeafTriangular $20-40$ (Ankamwar et al., 2007)24Sesbania-Spherical, triangular $55-80$ (Huang et al., 2004)26Salvia officinalisWhole plantSpherical, triangular, truncated triangles, pentagons and hexagons. $4-72$ (Elia et al., 2014)27Pelargonium graveolensLeafSpherical, triangular, truncated triangles, pentagons and hexagons. $2.6-50$ (Shankar et al., 2003)28Lippia citriodoraLeafSpherical $35-45$ (Zayed et al., 20	10		Fruit	Variable	15-20	2014)	
13Lansium domesticumFruit peelTriangular, Hexagonal20–40(Shankar et al., 2014)14Mangifera indicaLeafSpherical17–20(Philip, 2010)15Magnolia kobusLeafVariable5–300(Song et al., 2009)16Maple leaf pine needleLeafSpherical<100							
13domesticumFruit peelTriangular, Hexagonal $20-40$ (Shankar et al., 2014)14Mangifera indicaLeafSpherical $17-20$ (Philip, 2010)15Magenolia kobusLeafVariable $5-300$ (Song et al., 2009)16Mapele leaf pine needleLeafSpherical <100 (Krishnaswamy & Orsat, 2015)17Morinda citrifoliaRootSpherical $12.17-$ 38.26(Suman et al., 2014)18Mentha piperita-Spherical, triangular $200-500$ (Shankar et al., 2005)20Dioscorea bulbiferTuberSpherical, triangular $200-500$ (Shankar et al., 2005)21Emblica officinalisFruitPolyhedral $15-25$ (Ankamwar et al., 2005)22Tamarindus indicaLeafTriangular $20-40$ (Ankamwar et al., 2007)23Cinnamonum camphoraLeafSpherical, triangular $55-80$ (Huang et al., 2007)24Sesbania-Spherical, triangular-(Shankar et al., 2004)26Salvia officinalisWhole plantSpherical, triangular, truncated triangles, pentagons and triangles, pentagons and hexagons. $21-70$ (Shankar et al., 2003)28Lippia citriodoraLeafSpherical $35-45$ (Zayed et al., 2003)30Phoenix dactyliferaLeafSpherical $20-200$ (Islam et al., 2014)32benghalensis (B) O. LeafLeafSpherical $20-200$ (Islam et al., 2013) <td>12</td> <td></td> <td>Leaf</td> <td>Spherical</td> <td>30</td> <td>(Philip & Unni, 2011)</td>	12		Leaf	Spherical	30	(Philip & Unni, 2011)	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		domesticum	·				
16Maple leaf pine needleLeafSpherical< 100(Krishnaswamy & Orsat, 2015)17Morinda citrifoliaRootSpherical $12.17-$ $38.26(Suman et al., 2014)18Mentha piperita-Spherical150(MubarakAli et al.,2011)19Cymbopogon spLeafSpherical, triangular200-500(Shankar et al., 2005)20Dioscorea bulbiferTuberSpherical11-30(Ghosh et al., 2011)21Emblica officinalisFruitPolyhedral15-25(Ankamwar et al.,2005)22Tamarindus indicaLeafTriangular20-40(Ankamwar et al.,2005)23CinnamonumcamphoraLeafSpherical, triangular55-80(Huang et al., 2007)24Sesbania-Spherical, triangular-(Shankar et al., 2007)25Cymbopogonflexuosus-Triangular, truncatedtriangular, truncatedtriangular, funcatedtriangular, truncatedtriangular, truncatedtri$							
16I needleLearSphericalI 10Orsat, 2015)17Morinda citrifoliaRootSpherical12.17- 38.26(Suman et al., 2014)18Mentha piperita-Spherical150(MubarakAli et al., 2011)19Cymbopogon spLeafSpherical, triangular200-500(Shankar et al., 2005)20Dioscorea bulbiferTuberSpherical, triangular200-500(Shonker et al., 2005)21Emblica officinalisFruitPolyhedral15-25(Ankamwar et al., 2005)22Tamarindus indicaLeafTriangular20-40(Ankamwar et al., 2005)23Cinnamomum amphoraLeafSpherical, triangular55-80(Huang et al., 2007)24Sesbania-Spherical, triangular-(Shankar et al., 2007)25Cymbopogon flexuosus-Triangular-(Shankar et al., 2004)26Salvia officinalisWhole plantSpherical, triangular, truncated triangles, pentagons and hexagons.4-72(Elia et al., 2014)27Pelargonium graveolensLeafSpherical, triangular, truncated triangles, pentagons and hexagons21-70(Shankar et al., 2003)30Phoenix dactyliferaLeafSpherical35-45(Zayed et al., 2014)31Pristacia integerrima berghalensis (B) O.LeafSpherical20-200(Islam et al., 2015)33Solanum nigrumLeafSpherical20-50(Gopinath et al., 2013)<	15		Leaf	Variable	5-300		
17Morinda citrijoliaRootSpherical38.26(Suman et al., 2014)18Mentha piperita-Spherical150 $(MubarakAli et al., 2011)$ 19Cymbopogon spLeafSpherical, triangular200–500(Shankar et al., 2005)20Dioscorea bulbiferTuberSpherical11–30(Ghosh et al., 2011)21Emblica officinalisFruitPolyhedral15–25(Ankamwar et al., 2005)22Tamarindus indicaLeafTriangular20–40(Ankamwar et al., 2005)23Cinnamonum camphora camphoraLeafSpherical, triangular55–80(Huang et al., 2007)24Sesbania-Spherical, triangular-(Shankar et al., 2004)25Cymbopogon flexuosus-Triangular-(Shankar et al., 2004)26Salvia officinalisWhole plantSpherical, triangular, truncated triangular4–72(Elia et al., 2014)27Pelargonium graveolensLeafSpherical, triangular, truncated triangular21–70(Shankar et al., 2003)28Lippia citriodoraLeafSpherical, triangular, truncated triangles, pentagons and hexagons2.6–50(Shankar et al., 2014)31Pistacia integerrima gallSpherical35–45(Zayed et al., 2014)32Pogostemon Ktz. leafLeafVariable10–50(Paul et al., 2015)33Solanum nigrumLeafSphericalSpherical50(Muthuvel et al., 2014) <td>16</td> <td>1 01</td> <td>Leaf</td> <td>Spherical</td> <td></td> <td></td>	16	1 01	Leaf	Spherical			
18Mentina piperita-Spherical1502011)19Cymbopogon spLeafSpherical, triangular200-500(Shankar et al., 2005)20Dioscorea bulbiferTuberSpherical11-30(Ghosh et al., 2011)21Emblica officinalisFruitPolyhedral15-25(Ankamwar et al., 2005)22Tamarindus indicaLeafTriangular20-40(Ankamwar et al., 2005)23Cinnamomum camphoraLeafSpherical, triangular55-80(Huang et al., 2007)24Sesbania-Spherical, triangular6-20(Shankar et al., 2007)25Cymbopogon flexuosus-Triangular-(Shankar et al., 2004)26Salvia officinalisWhole plantSpherical, triangular, truncated triangles, pentagons and hexagons.4-72(Elia et al., 2014)28Lippia citriodoraLeafSpherical, triangular, truncated triangular, truncated triangular	17	Morinda citrifolia	Root	Spherical			
20Dioscorea bulbiferTuberSpherical $11-30$ (Ghosh et al., 2011)21Emblica officinalisFruitPolyhedral $15-25$ (Ankamwar et al., 2005)22Tamarindus indicaLeafTriangular $20-40$ (Ankamwar et al., 2005)23Cinnamomum camphoraLeafSpherical, triangular $55-80$ (Huang et al., 2007)24Sesbania-Spherical, triangular $6-20$ (Sharma et al., 2007)25Cymbopogon flexuosus-Triangular-(Shankar et al., 2004)26Salvia officinalisWhole plantSpherical, triangular, truncated triangular $4-72$ (Elia et al., 2014)27Pelargonium graveolensLeafSpherical, triangular, truncated triangular, truncated triangular $2.6-50$ (Shankar et al., 2003)30Phoenix dactylifera LeafLeafSpherical $35-45$ (Zayed et al., 2014)31Pistacia integerrima gallgallSpherical $20-200$ (Islam et al., 2019)32Solanum nigrum LeafLeafVariable $10-50$ (Paul et al., 2015)33Solanum nigrum AtzLeafSpherical 50 (Muthuvel et al., 2014)34Terminalia arjunaLeafSpherical $20-50$ (Gopinath et al., 2013)	_		-	-		2011)	
21Emblica officinalisFruitPolyhedral15–25(Ankamwar et al., 2005)22Tamarindus indicaLeafTriangular20–40(Ankamwar et al., 2005)23Cinnamonum camphoraLeafSpherical, triangular55–80(Huang et al., 2007)24Sesbania-Spherical, triangular6–20(Sharma et al., 2007)25Cymbopogon flexuosus-Triangular-(Shankar et al., 2004)26Salvia officinalisWhole plantSpherical, triangular, truncated triangular, truncated triangular4–72(Elia et al., 2014)27Pelargonium graveolensLeafSpherical, rodugular, funcated triangular, truncated triangular21–70(Shankar et al., 2003)30Phoenix dactylifera Denix dactyliferaLeafSpherical, triangular, truncated triangular, truncated triangular2.6–50(Shankar et al., 2003)31Pistacia integerrima gallSpherical, triangular, truncated trianglas, pentagons and hexagons20–200(Islam et al., 2014)32Benghalensis (B) O. Ktz. leafLeafSpherical35–45(Zayed et al., 2019)32Solanum nigrumLeafSpherical50(Muthuvel et al., 2014)34Terminalia arjunaLeafSpherical20–50(Gopinath et al., 2013)							
21Emolical oglicitatitsFruitPolynedral13-232005)22Tamarindus indicaLeafTriangular20-40(Ankamwar et al., 2005)23Cinnamomum camphoraLeafSpherical, triangular55-80(Huang et al., 2007)24Sesbania-Spherical6-20(Sharma et al., 2007)25Cymbopogon flexuosus-Triangular-(Shankar et al., 2004)26Salvia officinalisWhole plantSpherical, triangular, truncated triangles, pentagons and hexagons.4-72(Elia et al., 2014)27Pelargonium graveolensLeafSpherical rods, flat, sheets and triangular21-70(Shankar et al., 2003)28Lippia citriodoraLeafSpherical, triangular, truncated triangles, pentagons and hexagons2.6-50(Shankar et al., 2014)31Pistacia integerrima gallSpherical35-45(Zayed et al., 2014)32Solanum nigrumLeafVariable10-50(Paul et al., 2015)33Solanum nigrumLeafSpherical50(Muthuvel et al., 2014)34Terminalia arjunaLeafSpherical20-50(Gopinath et al., 2014)	20	Dioscorea bulbifer	Tuber	Spherical	11-30		
22Tamarinatis indicaLeafFriangular $20-40$ 2005 23Cinnamomum camphoraLeafSpherical, triangular $55-80$ (Huang et al., 2007)24Sesbania-Spherical $6-20$ (Sharma et al., 2007)25Cymbopogon flexuosus-Triangular-(Shankar et al., 2004)26Salvia officinalisWhole plantSpherical, triangular, truncated triangles, pentagons and hexagons. $4-72$ (Elia et al., 2014)27Pelargonium graveolensLeafSpherical rods, flat, sheets and triangular, truncated triangular, truncated truncate	21	Emblica officinalis	Fruit	Polyhedral	15-25	2005)	
23camphoraLearSpherical, triangular55–80(Huang et al., 2007)24Sesbania-Spherical6–20(Sharma et al., 2007)25Cymbopogon flexuosus-Triangular-(Shankar et al., 2004)26Salvia officinalisWhole plantSpherical, triangular, truncated triangles, pentagons and hexagons.4–72(Elia et al., 2014)27Pelargonium graveolensLeafSpherical rods, flat, sheets and triangular, truncated triangles, pentagons and hexagons21–70(Shankar et al., 2003)28Lippia citriodoraLeafSpherical, triangular, truncated triangles, pentagons and hexagons2.6–50(Shankar et al., 2003)30Phoenix dactyliferaLeafSpherical35–45(Zayed et al., 2014)31Pistacia integerrima benghalensis (B) O. Ktz. leafLeafVariable10–50(Paul et al., 2015)33Solanum nigrumLeafSpherical50(Muthuvel et al., 2014)34Terminalia arjunaLeafSpherical20–50(Gopinath et al., 2013)	22		Leaf	Triangular	20-40		
25Cymbopogon flexuosus-Triangular-(Shankar et al., 2004)26Salvia officinalisWhole plantSpherical, triangular, truncated triangles, pentagons and hexagons.4–72(Elia et al., 2014)27Pelargonium graveolensLeafSpherical rods, flat, sheets and triangular21–70(Shankar et al., 2003)28Lippia citriodoraLeafSpherical, triangular, truncated triangles, pentagons and hexagons2.6–50(Shankar et al., 2003)30Phoenix dactyliferaLeafSpherical35–45(Zayed et al., 2014)31Pistacia integerrima benghalensis (B) O. Ktz. leafLeafVariable10–50(Paul et al., 2015)33Solanum nigrumLeafSpherical50(Muthuvel et al., 2014)34Terminalia arjunaLeafSpherical20–50(Gopinath et al., 2013)		camphora	Leaf	-		,	
25Interminalia <thinterminalia< th="">InterminaliaInterminaliaInte</thinterminalia<>	24		-	Spherical	6-20	(Sharma <i>et al.</i> , 2007)	
26Salvia officinalisWhole planttriangles, pentagons and hexagons.4–72(Elia et al., 2014)27Pelargonium graveolensLeafSpherical rods, flat, sheets and triangular21–70(Shankar et al., 2003)28Lippia citriodoraLeafSpherical, triangular, truncated triangles, pentagons and hexagons2.6–50(Shankar et al., 2003)30Phoenix dactyliferaLeafSpherical, triangular, truncated triangles, pentagons and hexagons35–45(Zayed et al., 2014)31Pistacia integerrimagallSpherical20–200(Islam et al., 2019)32Pogostemon benghalensis (B) O. Ktz. leafLeafVariable10–50(Paul et al., 2015)33Solanum nigrumLeafSpherical50(Muthuvel et al., 2014)34Terminalia arjunaLeafSpherical20–50(Gopinath et al., 2013)	25		-	•	-	(Shankar <i>et al.</i> , 2004)	
27graveolensLeartriangular21-70(Shankar et al., 2003)28Lippia citriodoraLeafSpherical, triangular, truncated triangles, pentagons and hexagons2.6-50(Shankar et al., 2003)30Phoenix dactyliferaLeafSpherical35-45(Zayed et al., 2014)31Pistacia integerrimagallSpherical20-200(Islam et al., 2019)32Pogostemon benghalensis (B) O. Ktz. leafLeafVariable10-50(Paul et al., 2015)33Solanum nigrumLeafSpherical50(Muthuvel et al., 2014)34Terminalia arjunaLeafSpherical20-50(Gopinath et al., 2013)	26		Whole plant	triangles, pentagons and hexagons.	4-72	(Elia <i>et al.</i> , 2014)	
28Lippla cliriodoraLealtriangles, pentagons and hexagons2.6-30(Shankar et al., 2003)30Phoenix dactyliferaLeafSpherical35-45(Zayed et al., 2014)31Pistacia integerrimagallSpherical20-200(Islam et al., 2019)32Pogostemon benghalensis (B) O. Ktz. leafLeafVariable10-50(Paul et al., 2015)33Solanum nigrumLeafSpherical50(Muthuvel et al., 2014)34Terminalia arjunaLeafSpherical20-50(Gopinath et al., 2013)	27		Leaf	triangular	21-70	(Shankar <i>et al.</i> , 2003)	
31Pistacia integerrima PogostemongallSpherical20–200(Islam et al., 2019)32Pogostemon benghalensis (B) O. Ktz. leafLeafVariable10–50(Paul et al., 2015)33Solanum nigrumLeafSpherical50(Muthuvel et al., 2014)34Terminalia arjunaLeafSpherical20–50(Gopinath et al., 2013)		• •		triangles, pentagons and hexagons		× , ,	
32Pogostemon benghalensis (B) O. Ktz. leafLeafVariable10-50(Paul et al., 2015)33Solanum nigrumLeafSpherical50(Muthuvel et al., 2014)34Terminalia arjunaLeafSpherical20-50(Gopinath et al., 2013)							
32benghalensis (B) O. Ktz. leafLeafVariable10–50(Paul et al., 2015)33Solanum nigrumLeafSpherical50(Muthuvel et al., 2014)34Terminalia arjunaLeafSpherical20–50(Gopinath et al., 2013)	31		gall	Spherical	20-200	(Islam et al., 2019)	
33Solanum nigrumLearSpherical502014)34Terminalia arjunaLeafSpherical20–50(Gopinath et al., 2013)	32	benghalensis (B) O.	Leaf	Variable	10-50		
34 <i>Terminalia arjuna</i> Lean Spherican 20–30 2013)	33	Solanum nigrum	Leaf	Spherical	50	2014)	
25 Zingibou officingle Diagona Catalina 5 15 (V	34	Terminalia arjuna	Leaf	Spherical	20-50	(Gopinath et al.,	
53 zingiber officinate Knizome Spherical $5-15$ (Kumar et al., 2011)	35	Zingiber officinale	Rhizome	Spherical	5-15	(Kumar et al., 2011)	

 Table 1. Synthesis of AuNPs using different plant sources





SI. No.	Plant source	Part Used	Morphology	Size (nm)	Ref
1	Aerva lanata	-	Mostly Spherical	18.62	(Joseph et al., 2015)
2	Artemisia nilagirica	Whole plant	Predominantly Square	70-90	(Vijayakumar et al., 2013)
3	Banana peel	Fruit	Spherical	23.7	(Ibrahim, 2015)
4	Beetroot	Root	Spherical	15	(Bindu et al., 2015)
5	Boerhavia diffusa	Whole plant	Spherical	25	(Kumar <i>et al.</i> , 2014)
6	Cinnamon zeylanicum	Bark	Variable	31 - 40	(Sathishkumar et al., 2009)
7	Cocos nucifera	Inflorescence	Spherical	22	(Mariselvam et al., 2014)
8	Coriandrum sativum	seed	Spherical	13.09	(Nazeruddin et al., 2014)
9	Dalbergia spinosa	Leaf	Spherical	18	(Muniyappan et al., 2014)
10	Delonix elata	Leaf	Spherical	35-45	(Sathiya & Akilandeswari, 2014)
11	Emblica officinalis	Fruit	Spherical	15	(Ramesh et al., 2015)
12	Eucalyptus	Leaf	Spherical	40-60	(Pourmortazavi et al., 2015)
13	Ficus carica	Leaf	Spherical	13	(Ulug et al., 2015)
14	Gloriosa superba	Leaf	Spherical	10-25	(Ashokkumar et al., 2013)
15	Hibiscus rosa sinensis	-	Variable	various	(Philip, 2010)
16	A. indicum	Leaf	Spherical	7-17	(Ashokkumar et al., 2015)
17	J. sambac	Leaf	Spherical	20-50	(Yallappa <i>et al.</i> , 2015)
18	Krishna tulsi	Leaf	Spherical	10-20	(Philip & Unni, 2011)
19	Lansium domesticum	Fruit peel	Spherical	10-30	(Shankar <i>et al.</i> , 2014)
20	Lantana camara leaf	Leaf	Spherical	20-34	(Ajitha et al., 2015)
21	Lippia citriodora	-	Spherical	15-30	(Cruz et al., 2010)
22	Mangifera indica	Leaf	Spherical	20	(Philip, 2011)
23	Maple leaf	Leaf	Spherical	1-100	(Vivekanandhan et al., 2014)
24	Neem gum	Gum	Spherical	< 30	(Velusamy et al., 2015)
25	Papaver somniferum	-	Spherical	3200-7600	(Vijayaraghavan et al., 2012)
26	Piper longum	Leaf	Spherical	17.6-41	(Jacob <i>et al.</i> , 2012)
27	Piper nigrum	-	Spherical	32-1	(Mohapatra <i>et al.</i> , 2015)
28	Tea leaf	Leaf	Spherical	20-90	(Sun et al., 2014)
29	Tephrosia purpurea	Leaf	Spherical	20	(Ajitha et al., 2014)
30	Tribulus terrestris	-	Spherical	16–28	(Gopinath <i>et al.</i> , 2012)
31	Ziziphus jujuba	Leaf	Variable	20-30	(Gavade et al.,2015)

 Table 2.
 Synthesis of AgNPs using different plant sources

Table 3. Synthesis of AgNPs using different microbial culture (94)

Sl.no	Microbial culture	Morphology	Size (nm)			
	Bacteria					
1	Bacillus licheniformis	Irregular	50			
2	Bacillus cereus	Spherical	4–5			
3	Brevibacterium casei	Spherical	10–50			
4	Corynebacterium glutamicum	Irregular	5–50			
5	Escherichia coli	Irregular	50			
6	Pediococcus pentosaceus	Irregular	< 100			
		Fungi				
7	Coriolus versicolor	Spherical	25–75			
8	Cladosporium cladosporioides	Spherical	10-100			
9	Aspergillus flavus	Spherical	8.92			
10	Aspergillus terreus	Spherical	1–20			
11	Fusarium oxysporum	Spherical	5–50			
12	Fusarium oxysporum	Irregular	5–15			
13	Fusarium oxysporum	Spherical	20–50			
14	Fusarium semitectum	Spherical	10-60			
15	Macrophomina phaseolina	Spherical	5-40			
16	Penicillium fellutanum	Spherical	5–25			
17	Penicillium nalgiovense	Spherical	25 ± 2.8			
18	Aspergillus fumigatus	Spherical	5–25			
19	Phaenerochaete chrysosporium	Pyramidal	50-200			
20	Phoma glomerata	Spherical	60-80			



21	Pleurotus sajor-caju	Spherical	30.5			
22	Trichoderma reesei	Spherical	5–50			
23	Trichoderma viride	Spherical	5–40			
24	Trichoderma viride	Spherical	5–40			
25	Trichoderma viride	Irregular	2-4			
26	Trichoderma asperellum	Spherical	13–18			
27	Verticillium sp.	Spherical	5–50			
	Yeast					
28	Yeast	Irregular, Polygonal	9–25			

Table 4.	Synthesis	of AuNPs using	different	microbial	culture [94]
	2	6			L' J

Bacteria1Brevibacterium caseiSpherical10–502Escherichia coliTriangular, Hexagons20-303Pedicococcus pentosaceusSpherical<1004Plectonema boryanumOctahedral10–6005Plectonema boryanumCubic10–256Pseudomonas aeruginosaIrregular15-307Rhodococcus sp.Spherical5–158Rhodopseudomonas capsulateSpherical10–209Shewanella algaeIrregular10–2010Shewanella oneidensisSpherical<10011Ureibacillus thermosphaericusIrregular50–70Fungi12Aureobasidium pullulansSpherical29 ± 6 13Aspergillus clavatusTriangular, Spherical24.4 ± 1 14Alternaria alternataSpherical, Triangular12 ± 5 15Aspergillus nigerPolydispersed10–2017Aspergillus nigerSpherical10–8018Fusarium somitectumSpherical10–8019Fusarium somitectumSpherical2–5020Hansenula anomalaIrregular1421Helminthosporium solaniVariable2–7022Hormoconis resinaeSpherical3–2023Neurospora crassaSpherical3–2024Penicillium rugulosumSpherical30–5025Aspergillus oryzae var. viridisMostly Spherical	Table 4. Synthesis of Auryr's using uniterent interoblat culture [94]					
1Brevibacterium caseiSpherical10–502Escherichia coliTriangular, Hexagons20–303Pediococcus pentosaceusSpherical<1004Plectonema boryanumOctahedral10–6005Plectonema boryanumCubic10–256Pseudomonas aeruginosaIrregular15–307Rhodococcus sp.Spherical5–158Rhodopseudomonas capsulateSpherical10–209Shewanella algaeIrregular10–2010Shewanella oneidensisSpherical<10011Ureibacillus thermospharcicusIrregular50–70Fungi12Aureobasidium pullulansSpherical29 ± 613Aspergillus clavatusTriangular, Spherical24.4 ± 114Alternaria alternataSpherical87–15.15Aspergillus sydowiiSpherical8.7–15.16Aspergillus nigerPolydispersed10–2017Aspergillus nigerSpherical2–5020Hansenula anomalaIrregular1421Helminthosporium solaniVariable2–7022Hormoconis resinaeSpherical3–2023Neurospora crassaSpherical3–2024Penicillium rugulosumSpherical3–2025Aspergillus oryzae var. viridisMostly Spherical3–2024Penicillium foridanumSpherical3–2025Aspergillus o	Sl. No			Size (nm)		
2Escherichia coliTriangular, Hexagons20–303Pediococcus pentosaceusSpherical<100			-			
3Pediococcus pentosaceusSpherical<1004Plectonema boryanumOctahedral10-6005Plectonema boryanumCubic10-256Pseudomonas aeruginosaIrregular15-307Rhodococcus sp.Spherical5-158Rhodopseudomonas capsulateSpherical10-209Shewanella algaeIrregular10-2010Shewanella oneidensisSpherical<100			*			
4Plectonema boryanumOctahedral10–6005Plectonema boryanumCubic10–256Pseudomonas aeruginosaIrregular15–307Rhodococcus sp.Spherical5–158Rhodopseudomonas capsulateSpherical10–209Shewanella algaeIrregular10–2010Shewanella oneidensisSpherical<100		Escherichia coli				
5Plectonema boryanumCubic $10-25$ 6Pseudomonas aeruginosaIrregular $15-30$ 7Rhodococcus sp.Spherical $5-15$ 8Rhodopseudomonas capsulateSpherical $10-20$ 9Shewanella oneidensisSpherical $10-20$ 10Shewanella oneidensisSpherical<100		Pediococcus pentosaceus	1			
6Pseudomonas aeruginosaIrregular $15-30$ 7Rhodococcus sp.Spherical $5-15$ 8Rhodopseudomonas capsulateSpherical $10-20$ 9Shewanella oneidensisSpherical $10-20$ 10Shewanella oneidensisSpherical <100 11Ureibacillus thermosphaericusIrregular $50-70$ Fungi12Aureobasidium pullulansSpherical 29 ± 6 13Aspergillus clavatusTriangular, Spherical 24.4 ± 1 14Alternaria alternataSpherical $8.7-15.4$ 16Aspergillus nigerPolydispersed $10-20$ 17Aspergillus nigerSpherical 12.8 ± 5 18Fusarium oxysporumSpherical 12.8 ± 5 19Fusarium oxysporumSpherical $2-50$ 20Hansenula anomalaIrregular 14 21Helminthosporium solaniVariable $2-70$ 22Hormoconis resinaeSpherical $3-20$ 23Neurospora crassaSpherical $3-20$ 24Penicillium rugulosumSpherical $10-60$ 25Aspergillus oryzae var. viridisMostly Spherical $10-60$ 26Penicillium seportumSpherical $10-60$ 27Penicillium spSpherical $10-60$ 28Phanerochaete chrysosporiumSpherical $10-100$ 29Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical $10-100$ 29Cylindrocladium floridanum <t< td=""><td></td><td>Plectonema boryanum</td><td>Octahedral</td><td>10-6000</td></t<>		Plectonema boryanum	Octahedral	10-6000		
7Rhodococcus sp.Spherical $5-15$ 8Rhodopseudomonas capsulateSpherical $10-20$ 9Shewanella algaeIrregular $10-20$ 10Shewanella oneidensisSpherical <100 11Ureibacillus thermosphaericusIrregular $50-70$ Fungi12Aureobasidium pullulansSpherical 29 ± 6 13Aspergillus clavatusTriangular, Spherical 24.4 ± 1 14Alternaria alternataSpherical, Triangular 12 ± 5 15Aspergillus nigerPolydispersed $10-20$ 17Aspergillus nigerSpherical 12.8 ± 5 18Fusarium semitectumSpherical 12.8 ± 5 19Fusarium oxysporumSpherical $2-70$ 20Hansenula anomalaIrregular1421Helminthosporium solaniVariable $2-70$ 22Hormoconis resinaeSpherical $3-20$ 23Neurospora crassaSpherical 32 24Penicillium rugulosumSpherical $30-50$ 25Aspergillus oryzae var. viridisMostly Spherical $10-60$ 26Penicillium brevicompactumVariaus $10-60$ 27Penicillium spSpherical $3-35$ 30Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical $10-100$ 29Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical $10-100$ 31Coriolus versicolorSpherical $10-20$ 33Colletorichum spSp	5	Plectonema boryanum	Cubic	10-25		
8Rhodopseudomonas capsulateSpherical $10-20$ 9Shewanella algaeIrregular $10-20$ 10Shewanella oneidensisSpherical<100	6	Pseudomonas aeruginosa	Irregular	15–30		
9Shewanella algaeIrregular $10-20$ 10Shewanella oneidensisSpherical< 100	7	Rhodococcus sp.	Spherical	5-15		
9Shewanella algaeIrregular $10-20$ 10Shewanella oneidensisSpherical<100	8	Rhodopseudomonas capsulate	Spherical	10–20		
10Shewanella oneidensisSpherical < 100 11Ureibacillus thermosphaericusIrregular $50-70$ Fungi12Aureobasidium pullulansSpherical 29 ± 6 13Aspergillus clavatusTriangular, Spherical 24.4 ± 1 14Alternaria alternataSpherical, Triangular 12 ± 5 15Aspergillus nigerPolydispersed $10-20$ 16Aspergillus nigerSpherical 12.8 ± 5 18Fusarium semitectumSpherical $10-20$ 20Hansenula anomalaIrregular1421Helminthosporium solaniVariable $2-70$ 22Hormoconis resinaeSpherical $3-20$ 23Neurospora crassaSpherical $3-20$ 24Penicillium rugulosumSpherical $3-20$ 25Aspergillus oryzae var. viridisMostly Spherical $10-60$ 26Penicillium rugulosumSpherical $30-50$ 28Phanerochaete chrysosporiumSpherical $10-60$ 29Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical $5-35$ 30Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical $10-100$ 29Rhizopus oryzaeSpherical $10-100$ 31Coriolus versicolorSpherical $10-25$ 33Colletotrichum spSpherical $10-25$ 33Colletotrichum spSpherical $10-25$ 34Candida albicansSpherical $20-40$ 35Sclerotium rolfsii	9			10–20		
11Ureibacillus thermosphaericusIrregular $50-70$ Fungi12Aureobasidium pullulansSpherical 29 ± 6 13Aspergillus clavatusTriangular, Spherical 24.4 ± 1 14Alternaria alternataSpherical, Triangular 12 ± 5 15Aspergillus nigerPolydispersed $10-20$ 17Aspergillus nigerSpherical 12.8 ± 5 18Fusarium semitectumSpherical 12.8 ± 5 19Fusarium semitectumSpherical $10-20$ 20Hansenula anomalaIrregular 14 21Helminthosporium solaniVariable $2-70$ 22Hormoconis resinaeSpherical $3-20$ 23Neurospora crassaSpherical $3-20$ 24Penicillium rugulosumSpherical $20-40$ 25Aspergillus oryzae var. viridisMostly Spherical $10-60$ 27Penicillium spSpherical $30-50$ 28Phanerochaete chrysosporiumSpherical $5-35$ 30Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical $5-35$ 31Coriolus versicolorSpherical $20-40$ 32Rhizopus oryzaeSpherical $16-25$ 33Colleotrichum spSpherical $20-40$ 34Candida albicansSpherical $20-40$ 35Sclerotium rolfsiiSpherical $20-40$	10		Spherical	< 100		
Fungi12Aureobasidium pullulansSpherical 29 ± 6 13Aspergillus clavatusTriangular, Spherical 24.4 ± 1 14Alternaria alternataSpherical, Triangular 12 ± 5 15Aspergillus sydowiiSpherical $8.7-15.0$ 16Aspergillus nigerPolydispersed $10-20$ 17Aspergillus nigerSpherical 12.8 ± 5 18Fusarium semitectumSpherical 12.8 ± 5 19Fusarium oxysporumSpherical $2-50$ 20Hansenula anomalaIrregular1421Helminthosporium solaniVariable $2-70$ 22Hormoconis resinaeSpherical $3-20$ 23Neurospora crassaSpherical 32 24Penicillium rugulosumSpherical 32 25Aspergillus oryzae var. viridisMostly Spherical $10-60$ 26Penicillium brevicompactumVariaus $10-60$ 27Penicillium spSpherical $30-50$ 28Phanerochaete chrysosporiumSpherical $10-100$ 29Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical $10-100$ 29Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical $10-20$ 31Coriolus versicolorSpherical $16-25$ 33Colletorichum spSpherical $8-40$ 34Candida albicansSpherical $20-40$ 35Sclerotium rolfsiiSpherical 25.2 ± 6 36Candida albicansMonod		Ureibacillus thermosphaericus	1	50-70		
12Aureobasidium pullulansSpherical 29 ± 6 13Aspergillus clavatusTriangular, Spherical 24.4 ± 1 14Alternaria alternataSpherical, Triangular 12 ± 5 15Aspergillus sydowiiSpherical $8.7-15.0$ 16Aspergillus nigerPolydispersed $10-20$ 17Aspergillus nigerSpherical 12.8 ± 5 18Fusarium semitectumSpherical $10-80$ 19Fusarium semitectumSpherical $2-50$ 20Hansenula anomalaIrregular 14 21Helminthosporium solaniVariable $2-70$ 22Hormoconis resinaeSpherical $3-20$ 23Neurospora crassaSpherical $3-20$ 24Penicillium rugulosumSpherical $3-20$ 25Aspergillus oryzae var. viridisMostly Spherical $10-60$ 26Penicillium spSpherical $30-50$ 28Phanerochaete chrysosporiumSpherical $10-100$ 29Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical $10-100$ 29Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical $10-25$ 31Coriolus versicolorSpherical $20-100$ 32Rhizopus oryzaeSpherical $20-100$ 34Candida albicansSpherical $8-40$ 34Candida albicansSpherical 25.2 ± 6 36Candida albicansMonodispersed, Spherical 5		1				
13Aspergillus clavatusTriangular, Spherical 24.4 ± 1 14Alternaria alternataSpherical, Triangular 12 ± 5 15Aspergillus sydowiiSpherical $8.7-15.0$ 16Aspergillus nigerPolydispersed $10-20$ 17Aspergillus nigerSpherical 12.8 ± 5.0 18Fusarium semitectumSpherical $10-80$ 19Fusarium oxysporumSpherical $2-50$ 20Hansenula anomalaIrregular1421Helminthosporium solaniVariable $2-70$ 22Hormoconis resinaeSpherical $3-20$ 23Neurospora crassaSpherical $3-20$ 24Penicillium rugulosumSpherical $20-40$ 25Aspergillus oryzae var. viridisMostly Spherical $10-60$ 26Penicillium spSpherical $30-50$ 28Phanerochaete chrysosporiumSpherical $10-100$ 29Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical $10-100$ 31Coriolus versicolorSpherical $20-100$ 32Rhizopus oryzaeSpherical $20-100$ 33Colletorrichum spSpherical $20-40$ 34Candida albicansSpherical 25.2 ± 6 36Candida albicansMonodispersed, Spherical 5	12			29 ± 6		
14Alternaria alternataSpherical, Triangular 12 ± 5 15Aspergillus sydowiiSpherical $8.7-15.0$ 16Aspergillus nigerPolydispersed $10-20$ 17Aspergillus nigerSpherical $12.8 \pm 5.$ 18Fusarium semitectumSpherical $10-80$ 19Fusarium oxysporumSpherical $2-50$ 20Hansenula anomalaIrregular 14 21Helminthosporium solaniVariable $2-70$ 22Hormoconis resinaeSpherical $3-20$ 23Neurospora crassaSpherical 32 24Penicillium rugulosumSpherical $20-40$ 25Aspergillus oryzae var. viridisMostly Spherical $10-60$ 26Penicillium brevicompactumVarious $10-60$ 27Penicillium floridanumSpherical $30-50$ 28Phanerochaete chrysosporiumSpherical 19.05 31Coriolus versicolorSpherical $10-100$ 32Rhizopus oryzaeSpherical $10-25$ 33Colletotrichum spSpherical $10-25$ 34Candida albicansSpherical $8-40$ 34Candida albicansSpherical 25.2 ± 6 36Candida albicansMonodispersed, Spherical 5				24.4 ± 11		
15Aspergillus sydowiiSpherical $8.7-15.0$ 16Aspergillus nigerPolydispersed $10-20$ 17Aspergillus nigerSpherical $12.8 \pm 5.$ 18Fusarium semitectumSpherical $10-80$ 19Fusarium oxysporumSpherical $2-50$ 20Hansenula anomalaIrregular 14 21Helminthosporium solaniVariable $2-70$ 22Hormoconis resinaeSpherical $3-20$ 23Neurospora crassaSpherical 32 24Penicillium rugulosumSpherical $10-60$ 25Aspergillus oryzae var. viridisMostly Spherical $10-60$ 26Penicillium brevicompactumVarious $10-60$ 27Penicillium spSpherical $30-50$ 28Phanerochaete chrysosporiumSpherical $5-35$ 30Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical $10-100$ 29Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical $16-25$ 31Coriolus versicolorSpherical $16-25$ 33Colletotrichum spSpherical $8-40$ 34Candida albicansSpherical $20-40$ 35Sclerotium rolfsiiSpherical $20-40$						
16Aspergillus nigerPolydispersed $10-20$ 17Aspergillus nigerSpherical 12.8 ± 5 18Fusarium semitectumSpherical $10-80$ 19Fusarium oxysporumSpherical $2-50$ 20Hansenula anomalaIrregular 14 21Helminthosporium solaniVariable $2-70$ 22Hormoconis resinaeSpherical $3-20$ 23Neurospora crassaSpherical 32 24Penicillium rugulosumSpherical $10-60$ 25Aspergillus oryzae var. viridisMostly Spherical $10-60$ 26Penicillium brevicompactumVarious $10-60$ 27Penicillium spSpherical $30-50$ 28Phanerochaete chrysosporiumSpherical $5-35$ 30Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical 19.05 31Coriolus versicolorSpherical $16-25$ 33Colletotrichum spSpherical $8-40$ 34Candida albicansSpherical $20-40$ 35Sclerotium rolfsiiSpherical 25.2 ± 6 36Candida albicansMonodispersed, Spherical 5						
17Aspergillus nigerSpherical $12.8 \pm 5.$ 18Fusarium semitectumSpherical $10-80$ 19Fusarium oxysporumSpherical $2-50$ 20Hansenula anomalaIrregular 14 21Helminthosporium solaniVariable $2-70$ 22Hormoconis resinaeSpherical $3-20$ 23Neurospora crassaSpherical 32 24Penicillium rugulosumSpherical $20-40$ 25Aspergillus oryzae var. viridisMostly Spherical $10-60$ 26Penicillium brevicompactumVarious $10-60$ 27Penicillium spSpherical $30-50$ 28Phanerochaete chrysosporiumSpherical $5-35$ 30Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical 19.05 31Coriolus versicolorSpherical $20-100$ 32Rhizopus oryzaeSpherical $8-40$ 34Candida albicansSpherical $8-40$ 35Sclerotium rolfsiiSpherical $20-40$						
18Fusarium semitectumSpherical10–8019Fusarium oxysporumSpherical2–5020Hansenula anomalaIrregular1421Helminthosporium solaniVariable2–7022Hormoconis resinaeSpherical3–2023Neurospora crassaSpherical3224Penicillium rugulosumSpherical20–4025Aspergillus oryzae var. viridisMostly Spherical10–6026Penicillium brevicompactumVarious10–6027Penicillium spSpherical30–5028Phanerochaete chrysosporiumSpherical5–3530Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical19.0531Coriolus versicolorSpherical16–2533Colletotrichum spSpherical8–4034Candida albicansSpherical20–4035Sclerotium rolfsiiSpherical20–10036Candida albicansMonodispersed, Spherical5						
19Fusarium oxysporumSpherical2–5020Hansenula anomalaIrregular1421Helminthosporium solaniVariable2–7022Hormoconis resinaeSpherical3–2023Neurospora crassaSpherical3224Penicillium rugulosumSpherical20–4025Aspergillus oryzae var. viridisMostly Spherical10–6026Penicillium brevicompactumVarious10–6027Penicillium spSpherical30–5028Phanerochaete chrysosporiumSpherical5–3530Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical19.0531Coriolus versicolorSpherical20–10032Rhizopus oryzaeSpherical20–10034Candida albicansSpherical20–4035Sclerotium rolfsiiSpherical20–4036Candida albicansMonodispersed, Spherical5		<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
20Hansenula anomalaIrregular1421Helminthosporium solaniVariable2–7022Hormoconis resinaeSpherical3–2023Neurospora crassaSpherical3224Penicillium rugulosumSpherical20–4025Aspergillus oryzae var. viridisMostly Spherical10–6026Penicillium brevicompactumVarious10–6027Penicillium spSpherical30–5028Phanerochaete chrysosporiumSpherical10–10029Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical5–3530Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical19.0531Coriolus versicolorSpherical16–2533Colletotrichum spSpherical8–4034Candida albicansSpherical20–4035Sclerotium rolfsiiSpherical20–4036Candida albicansMonodispersed, Spherical5						
21Helminthosporium solaniVariable $2-70$ 22Hormoconis resinaeSpherical $3-20$ 23Neurospora crassaSpherical 32 24Penicillium rugulosumSpherical $20-40$ 25Aspergillus oryzae var. viridisMostly Spherical $10-60$ 26Penicillium brevicompactumVarious $10-60$ 27Penicillium spSpherical $30-50$ 28Phanerochaete chrysosporiumSpherical $10-100$ 29Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical $5-35$ 30Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical $10-100$ 31Coriolus versicolorSpherical $10-25$ 33Colletotrichum spSpherical $16-25$ 34Candida albicansSpherical $20-40$ 35Sclerotium rolfsiiSpherical $20-40$ 36Candida albicansMonodispersed, Spherical 5			1			
22Hormoconis resinaeSpherical $3-20$ 23Neurospora crassaSpherical 32 24Penicillium rugulosumSpherical $20-40$ 25Aspergillus oryzae var. viridisMostly Spherical $10-60$ 26Penicillium brevicompactumVarious $10-60$ 27Penicillium spSpherical $30-50$ 28Phanerochaete chrysosporiumSpherical $10-100$ 29Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical $5-35$ 30Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical 19.05 31Coriolus versicolorSpherical $20-100$ 32Rhizopus oryzaeSpherical $16-25$ 33Colletotrichum spSpherical $8-40$ 34Candida albicansSpherical $20-40$ 35Sclerotium rolfsiiSpherical 25.2 ± 6 36Candida albicansMonodispersed, Spherical 5			ě			
23Neurospora crassaSpherical3224Penicillium rugulosumSpherical $20-40$ 25Aspergillus oryzae var. viridisMostly Spherical $10-60$ 26Penicillium brevicompactumVarious $10-60$ 27Penicillium spSpherical $30-50$ 28Phanerochaete chrysosporiumSpherical $10-100$ 29Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical $5-35$ 30Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical 19.05 31Coriolus versicolorSpherical $16-25$ 33Colletotrichum spSpherical $8-40$ 34Candida albicansSpherical $20-40$ 35Sclerotium rolfsiiSpherical $20-40$ 36Candida albicansMonodispersed, Spherical 5						
24Penicillium rugulosumSpherical $20-40$ 25Aspergillus oryzae var. viridisMostly Spherical $10-60$ 26Penicillium brevicompactumVarious $10-60$ 27Penicillium spSpherical $30-50$ 28Phanerochaete chrysosporiumSpherical $10-100$ 29Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical $5-35$ 30Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical 19.05 31Coriolus versicolorSpherical $20-100$ 32Rhizopus oryzaeSpherical $8-40$ 34Candida albicansSpherical $20-40$ 35Sclerotium rolfsiiSpherical 25.2 ± 6 36Candida albicansMonodispersed, Spherical 5			<u>^</u>			
25Aspergillus oryzae var. viridisMostly Spherical $10-60$ 26Penicillium brevicompactumVarious $10-60$ 27Penicillium spSpherical $30-50$ 28Phanerochaete chrysosporiumSpherical $10-100$ 29Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical $5-35$ 30Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical 19.05 31Coriolus versicolorSpherical $20-100$ 32Rhizopus oryzaeSpherical $16-25$ 33Colletotrichum spSpherical $8-40$ 34Candida albicansSpherical $20-40$ 35Sclerotium rolfsiiSpherical 25.2 ± 6 36Candida albicansMonodispersed, Spherical 5						
26 Penicillium brevicompactumVarious $10-60$ 27 Penicillium spSpherical $30-50$ 28 Phanerochaete chrysosporiumSpherical $10-100$ 29 Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical $5-35$ 30 Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical 19.05 31 Coriolus versicolorSpherical $20-100$ 32 Rhizopus oryzaeSpherical $16-25$ 33 Colletotrichum spSpherical $8-40$ 34 Candida albicansSpherical $20-40$ 35 Sclerotium rolfsiiSpherical 25.2 ± 6 36 Candida albicansMonodispersed, Spherical 5						
27Penicillium spSpherical $30-50$ 28Phanerochaete chrysosporiumSpherical $10-100$ 29Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical $5-35$ 30Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical 19.05 31Coriolus versicolorSpherical $20-100$ 32Rhizopus oryzaeSpherical $16-25$ 33Colletotrichum spSpherical $8-40$ 34Candida albicansSpherical $20-40$ 35Sclerotium rolfsiiSpherical 25.2 ± 6 36Candida albicansMonodispersed, Spherical 5						
28Phanerochaete chrysosporiumSpherical $10-100$ 29Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical $5-35$ 30Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical 19.05 31Coriolus versicolorSpherical $20-100$ 32Rhizopus oryzaeSpherical $16-25$ 33Colletotrichum spSpherical $8-40$ 34Candida albicansSpherical $20-40$ 35Sclerotium rolfsiiSpherical 25.2 ± 6 36Candida albicansMonodispersed, Spherical 5						
29Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical $5-35$ 30Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical19.0531Coriolus versicolorSpherical20-10032Rhizopus oryzaeSpherical16-2533Colletotrichum spSpherical8-4034Candida albicansSpherical20-4035Sclerotium rolfsiiSpherical25.2 \pm 6.36Candida albicansMonodispersed, Spherical5			*			
30Cylindrocladium floridanumSpherical19.0531Coriolus versicolorSpherical20–10032Rhizopus oryzaeSpherical16–2533Colletotrichum spSpherical8–4034Candida albicansSpherical20–4035Sclerotium rolfsiiSpherical25.2 \pm 6.36Candida albicansMonodispersed, Spherical5		· •				
31Coriolus versicolorSpherical $20-100$ 32Rhizopus oryzaeSpherical $16-25$ 33Colletotrichum spSpherical $8-40$ 34Candida albicansSpherical $20-40$ 35Sclerotium rolfsiiSpherical 25.2 ± 6 36Candida albicansMonodispersed, Spherical5			1			
32Rhizopus oryzaeSpherical16–2533Colletotrichum spSpherical8–4034Candida albicansSpherical20–4035Sclerotium rolfsiiSpherical25.2 ± 6.36Candida albicansMonodispersed, Spherical5		2	*			
33Colletotrichum spSpherical8–4034Candida albicansSpherical20–4035Sclerotium rolfsiiSpherical25.2 ± 6.36Candida albicansMonodispersed, Spherical5	-					
34 Candida albicansSpherical $20-40$ 35 Sclerotium rolfsiiSpherical 25.2 ± 6 36 Candida albicansMonodispersed, Spherical 5		<u> </u>	1			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		*	1			
36Candida albicansMonodispersed, Spherical5			1			
37 Epicoccum nigrum - 5-50			Monodispersed, Spherical			
	37	Epicoccum nigrum	-	5-50		



38	Trichoderma koningii	Spherical	30–40
39	Trichoderma koningii	Spherical	10–14
40	Verticillum luteoalbum	Irregular	< 100
41	Volvariella volvacea	Triangular, Spherical	20–150
42	Verticillium sp	Irregular	< 100
43	Verticillium sp	Spherical	20 ± 8
44	Yarrowia lipolytica	Various	< 100
45	Yarrowia lipolytica	Triangles	15
	Yea	ast	
46	Yeast	Irregular, Polygonal	9–25
47	Candida utilis	Irregular	< 100
48	Saccharomyces cerevisiae	Spherical	15–20

1.3. Probable mechanism of synthesis of Ag and Au Nanoparticles

1.3.1. Microorganism based

Various mechanisms for the synthesis of NPs using microorganisms have been reported. However, when simplified, the mechanism revolves around a similar concept where precursor metal or metal oxide salt ions are captured on the surface or inside microbial cells. With the assistance of extra or intracellular enzymes, the captured ions are then reduced into respective nanoparticles. One of the studies reported the synthesis of Au and Ag NPs via Verticillium sp [95], and the hypothesis stated that initially, Au and Ag ions were captured on the fungal cell surface with the help of electrostatic interaction between ions and negatively charged cell wall enzyme. Then, the captured gold and silver ions were bio-reduced into gold and silver nuclei, which then subsequently grew into nanoparticles. The two main aspects in the biosynthesis of nanoparticles are nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) and NADHdependent nitrate reductase. Another study demonstrated the synthesis of AgNPs via B. licheniformis, which concluded that nitrate reductase was responsible for the synthesis [96]. However, the exact mechanism for the production of metal salt ions and metal and metal oxide nanoparticles is still not known. The general mechanism of synthesis is shown in fig. 4.

1.3.2. Plant based synthesis

After maintaining the conditions suitable for the synthesis, such as phytochemicals, metal salt concentration, phytochemical concentration, pH, and temperature, the extract of the plant is mixed

with metal precursor salt ions solution whereby synthesizing nanoparticles [96]. By maintaining the aforementioned conditions, the rate of nanoparticle formation, yield, and stability of nanoparticles can be controlled [97]. In comparison to fungi and bacteria, which need a longer incubation period, phytochemicals found in plant leaf extracts have an uncanny ability to reduce metal ions in a much shorter time [98].




Fig. 4. Synthesis of AuNPs via different approaches using bacteria

In addition, plant leaf extracts are considered to be a good and safe source for metal and metal oxide nanoparticle synthesis. The plant extract has the potential to act as both a reducing and stabilizing agent for the synthesis process [12, 13, 14]. Within a few minutes, the reaction is completed. When the precursor solutions are



mixed, the biochemical reduction of the salt begins almost immediately, and the generation of nanoparticles is usually indicated by a change in the color of the reaction solution. The mechanism for the synthesis of NPs is shown in figure 5.

Plant-based synthesis is primarily divided into three stages: activation phase, growth phase, and termination phase [99, 100]. The activation phase is the first step in the process of recovering metal ions from their salt precursors using plant which are biomolecules metabolites, with reduction abilities. Nucleation of the condensed metal atoms occurs after the metal ions are changed from their monovalent or divalent oxidation states to zero-valent states [101]. The activation phase is followed by the growth phase (Ostwald ripening), in which the separated metal atoms coalesce to form metal NPs, with further biological metal ion reduction taking place. Nanoparticles accumulate laterally as they expand, forming a variety of morphologies such as cubes, triangles, rods, spherical, hexagons, pentagons, and wires [102]. The increased thermodynamic stability of NPs occurs as the growth stage progresses, while extensive nucleation can cause aggregation of synthesized

NPs, altering their morphologies. The termination phase (defining the final shape of NPs) is the final step in the green NP synthesis process. When the NPs are capped by plant metabolites, they get the most promising and stable morphology [94].

1.4. Clinical applications of gold and silver nanostructures/particles

Due to the extremely small size and multiple applications of NPs in the various fields, it has gained paramount importance in recent times [6]. NPs such as gold and silver have been recently used in bioimaging/ labeling, anticancer therapy, targeted drug delivery, agriculture, water treatment, nano fertilizers/ nano pesticides/ nano herbicides, catalytic activity, removal of pollutants, heavy metal ion sensing, acaricidal agent, anti-biofouling agent, anti-inflammatory, antileishmanial agent, antimicrobial agent, optics, mechanics, electronics and aeronautics, etc. [4, 6, 16]. The antimicrobial activity of NPs, can be divided into two categories: bactericidal and inhibitory. Bacterial cells die due to NPs activity in bactericidal action, whereas bacterial cell division is only prevented in inhibitory action without destroying any cells.




Fig. 5. Diagrammatic representation of mechanism of green synthesis of nanoparticles





Based on these two types of actions, the disc diffusion method, minimum inhibitory concentration determination, and minimum bactericidal concentration determination are three commonly used methods to study the antibacterial properties of any material.

1.4.1. Disc or Well Diffusion Method

The disc diffusion approach is widely used to demonstrate the antimicrobial activity of AgNPs. Nanoparticles are coated on the surface of suitable absorbent materials with uniform size and disc shape in this process. The disc is positioned over the targeted microbe's surface during the analysis, and the antimicrobial activity of AgNPs is shown by the development of an inhibition zone around the disc. Instead of a disc, small disc-shaped pits are formed on the surface of the agar plate for filling the test solution in the well diffusion process. The plates are inoculated with microbes and incubated under clean conditions in both processes to obtain a clear inhibition zone. The diameter of the inhibition zone around the well or disc can easily visualize the effect of AgNPs on the selected microbes [20]. The researchers used Datura stramonium leaf extract to make AgNPs and tested their antibacterial activity using the well diffusion method against Gram-negative (E. coli) and Gram-positive (*S*. aureus) bacteria. The antibacterial activity of AgNPs increases as the dose of stack solution increases, and better antibacterial activity of AgNPs was demonstrated against E. coli [103].

1.4.2. *Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) or Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC)*

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) is characterized as a concentration of nanoparticles that causes 100 % visible growth of the targeted microbe after 24 hours of incubation. The MIC is calculated by watching the bacteria develop in culture tubes containing the same amount of bacterial culture but different concentrations of AgNPs. MIC is defined as the minimum amount of AgNPs required to inhibit the growth of the bacteria [20].

MBC was determined using by using a fixed amount of AgNPs, which is normally higher than the MIC value. AgNPs are applied to a nutrient medium containing a growing bacterial inoculum, and the bacteria's growth is observed using UV- Vis spectroscopy or a plate analyzer in terms of changes in the samples' optical density. Broth dilution measurements are also used for MIC and MBC analysis, in which a comparison of the result is made with the standard after the completion of the experiment [20].

1.4.3. Antimicrobial activity of gold Nanoparticles (AuNPs)

AuNPs are recently used to treat various diseases owing to their smaller size and greater therapeutic efficacy. In addition to biomedical applications of the AuNPs, it has also been used as antimicrobial agents in human, agriculture products, fertilizers, pathogens, and food. The antimicrobial activity of AuNPs is credited for its extremely small size, larger surface area, and its photothermic nature [5]. It is reported that the AuNPs interfere with the ionic interactions in the cell membrane, whereby the membrane gets damaged by pathogens. In addition, AuNPs often cause bacteria and fungi to die by increasing the expression of genes involved in the redox process [5]. However, the exact mechanism of antimicrobial activity of AuNPs is not still known. Some studies have also reported that it works by targeting sulfur or phosphorous base in the cell. When AuNPs are bound to a thiol group in an enzyme, the respiratory chain is disrupted, resulting in the production of a large number of free radicals and causing cell death. AuNPs, on the other hand, minimize ATP behaviors by reducing the interaction between tRNA and ribosomes [5]. It is also reported that AuNPs exhibit antimicrobial activity by hindering transmembrane hydrogen efflux. When the size of the NPs is much smaller than the size of the bacterial cell, however, a lower concentration of AuNPs will hinder bacterial growth by about 250-fold, causing the pathogen to die. NPs bind to the pathogen's cell wall and slow the cell process, causing death. By using the electrostatic force of attraction on the cell wall, AuNPs will attract DNA from bacteria. With the increased surface area and nano-size, the chances of cell death become higher. Table 5 shows the list of plants used for the synthesis of AuNPs and their antimicrobial activities [104].

AuNPs shows different action on gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria. Gram-positive bacteria have a thick peptidoglycan layer, whereas only a thin layer of peptidoglycan is present in gram-negative.



10

Sl. no	Plant name	Microorganisms tested	Method
1	Acorus calamus	Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus	Agar well diffusion
2	Trianthema decandra L.	S. aureus, Enterococcus faecalis, Streptococcus faecalis, E. coli, P. vulgaris, P. aeruginosa, B. subtilis, Yersinia enterocolitica, Klebsiella pneumoniae and C. albicans	Well diffusion
3	Solanum lycopersicum	S. aureus and P. aeruginosa	Well diffusion
4	Zizyphus mauritiana	S. aureus	Luria medium
5	Dracocephalum kotschyi	E. coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Proteus vulgaris	Agar cup-plate
6	Mentha piperita	S. aureus and E. coli	Müller-Hinton agar
7	Solanum nigrum	Staphylococcus saprophyticus, Bacillus subtilis and E. coli	Disc diffusion
8	Nepenthes khasiana	E. coli, Bacillus, Candida albicans and Aspergillus niger	Well diffusion
9	Gloriosa superba	S. aureus, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Klebsiella pneumoniae and E. coli	Disc diffusion
10	Salicornia brachiate	Salmonella typhi, E. coli, P. aeruginosa and S. aureus	Disc diffusion

Table 5. Plants used for the synthesis of AuNPs and its antimicrobial activity [104]

As a result, the peptidoglycan in Gram-positive bacteria is very strong and can penetrate AuNPs through the cell wall, whereas Gram-negative bacteria have a thin layer that can easily cause cell death. In addition to the thickness of peptidoglycan and size of AuNPs, other factors such as concentration, capping agents, and purification are accounted for its antimicrobial activity. It was also reported that the AuNPs synthesized from the plant extract are superior or show greater inhibitory potential compared to those synthesized using the chemical method. The antimicrobial activity of green synthesized AuNPs could be attributed to the AuNPs' synergistic effect with plant extract [105-107].

1.4.4. Silver Nanoparticles (AgNPs)

AgNPs inhibits bacterial growth by suppressing respiratory enzymes and electron transporting unit by blocking the functioning of DNA. The respiratory and permeability functions of the cell membrane are hindered when silver ions are bound to the cell surface of microorganisms. Silver interacts closely with the membrane's surface and penetrates deep into the bacterial cell, causing DNA to lose its replicability and eventually die [108].

Many researchers have reported that AgNPs have more antimicrobial activity towards gramnegative bacteria than gram-positive because of thin peptidoglycan layers and a beta-barrel protein called porins present on the cell wall [109]. Furthermore, AgNPs with a high specific surface-to-volume ratio release Ag⁺, increasing their contact with microorganisms, improving silver ion dissolution, and improving the biocidal effect. Factors such as pH, temperature, AgNO₃ concentration, and microorganism type affect the antimicrobial activity of AgNPs [20].

The study was carried out by Ruiz-Baltazar et al. to determine the antimicrobial properties of AgNPs synthesized via Melissa officinalis leaf extract against S. A and E. coli. The disc diffusion method and the MBC/MIC test were used to investigate the antibacterial activity. Both AgNPs solutions of various concentrations (ranging from 1 mM to 20 mM) were found to have an inhibitory effect. In both cases, the antibacterial properties of prepared AgNPs synthesized using a green synthesis method were verified by the inhibition zone results. In addition, it was also reported that with an increase in the AgNPs concentration, the antibacterial properties got enhanced, and better result was exhibited against E. coli than S. aureus. However, antibacterial properties were exhibited by AgNPs against both the microorganisms [110]. Photoinduced green synthesis and antimicrobial evaluation of polycaprolactone/ curcumin/ grape leaf extract-Ag hybrid nanoparticles (PCL/ Cur/ GLE-AgNPs) were reported by EL-Sherbiny et al. In comparison to GLE, GLE/ AgNPs, and PCL/ Cur/ GLE plain NPs, bacterial strains incubated with high concentrations of PCL/ Cur/ GLE-Ag hybrid NPs showed a high bactericidal



impact. PCL/ Cur/ GLE-Ag hybrid NPs have a high surface area, enabling them to make direct contact with bacterial cells and free radicals formed by AgNPs, which can damage bacterial cell membranes [111].

The green synthesis of AgNPs from silver acetate was reported by Pethakamsetty et al., who used methanolic root extracts of Diospyros sylvatica (a member of the Ebenaceae family) as a reducing agent. The synthesized AgNPs were reported to exhibit mild activity against Proteus vulgaris and Streptococcus pyogenes, but moderate activity against E. coli, Staphylococcus aureus, and Klebsiella pneumoniae. However, Bacillus subtilis, Bacillus pumilis, and Pseudomonas aeuriginosa were shown the most activity by AgNPs [112]. The green synthesis of AgNPs by tissue extract from weaver ant larvae has been Khamhaengpol documented by and Siri (Oecophylla smaragdina). The synthesized AgNPs exhibited potential antibacterial activity against both E. coli and S. aureus. In contrast to other published literature, the MBC and MIC against both bacteria were found to be the lowest [113].

1.5. Factors affecting green synthesis

1.5.1. Particular Method or Technique

There are various methods to synthesize NPs. The methods or techniques include physical method (Mechanical procedure), chemical method (using chemicals), and biological method, which include the use of organic or inorganic chemicals, plants, and microorganisms for the synthesis of NPs. Each method is associated with certain benefits and limitations. Physical and chemical methods are associated with extensive use of energy and toxic chemicals, which are harmful to both people and the environment. Biological methods for nanoparticle synthesis, on the other hand, use nontoxic and environmentally safe materials in combination with green technology, making them more environmentally friendly and more suitable than conventional methods [121, 122].

1.5.2. Effect of concentration on synthesis

An increase in concentration increases the rate of collision of reactant particles and hence facilitates the rate of reduction of metal ions into metal nanoparticles. Although less concentration (3.5 \times 10⁻⁶ M) of flavonoid derivatives has the ability to reduce metal ions, they do not have the ability to act as capping agents and stabilizers as compared to the high concentration (3.5×10^{-2}) phytochemical constituents M) of (i.e., flavonoids) or enzymes. A higher concentration of flavonoid produces AuNPs with small size. It also indicates that when a high concentration of flavonoid derivatives was used, it stimulated interaction between the protective strong flavonoid derivatives and the surface of AuNPs, preventing AuNPs from sintering, thus resulting in size reduction of AuNPs [123].

1.5.3. Choice of best organism

In order to have an efficient and effective synthesis of NPs, researchers have given core importance to intrinsic properties of organisms such as enzymatic activities and biochemical pathways. It was also reported that plants with great potential for heavy metal accumulation and detoxification are the best candidates for the synthesis of metal nanoparticles [4].

Sl. no	Plant Extract	Targeted pathogens	Ref.
1	Citrus limetta peel	Micrococcus luteus, Streptococcus mutans, Staphylococcus epidermidis, S. aureus, E. coli, Candida spp.	(Dutta <i>et al.</i> , 2020)
2	<i>Luffa acutangula</i> leaf	E. coli, Saccharomyces cerevisiae	(Kaushal <i>et al</i> ., 2016)
3	Parkia speciosa leaf	E. coli, S. aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Bacillus subtilis	(Ravichandran <i>et al.</i> , 2019)
4	A. indica leaf	S. aureus, E. coli.	(Ahmed <i>et al.</i> , 2016)
5	Gomphrena globosa leaf	S. aureus, B. subtilis, M. luteus, E. coli, P. aeruginosa, Klebsiella pneumoniae.	(Tamilarasi & Meena, 2020)
6	Pedalium murex leaf	E. coli, K. pneumonia, Micrococcus flavus, P. aeruginosa, B. subtilis, Bacillus pumilus, S. aureus	(Anandalakshmi <i>et al.</i> , 2016)
7	Musa acuminate peel	B. subtilis, S. aureus, P. aeruginosa, E. coli.	(Ibrahim, 2015)

Table 6. Plant extract-mediated green synthesis of AgNPs with antimicrobial activity.



12

1.5.4. *pH*

pH is the major factor that has a significant influence on the synthesis of NPs using the green synthesis techniques. Many researchers have discovered and reported that the pH of the solution medium has effects on the texture and size of the synthesized nanoparticles [124, 125]. As a result, changing the pH of the solution media will regulate nanoparticle size. A study demonstrated the effect of pH on the shape and size of the synthesized silver nanoparticle [126].

1.5.5. Temperature

Temperature is another significant factor that influences nanoparticle synthesis in all three methods. namelv physical, chemical and biological methods (green synthesis). The physical method necessitates the maximum temperature (>350°C), while chemical methods necessitate temperatures below 350°C. In certain cases, green technology nanoparticle synthesis requires temperatures of less than 100°C or ambient temperature. The nature of the nanoparticles produced is determined by the temperature of the reaction medium [127].

1.5.6. Pressure

The pressure is an essential factor for the synthesis of NPs. The shape and size of the synthesized nanoparticles are influenced by the pressure applied to the reaction medium [128]. At ambient pressure, the rate of metal ion reduction using biological agents was reported to be much faster [129].

1.5.7. Particle Shape and Size

The size of nanoparticles plays an important role in determining their properties. The melting point of nanoparticles, for example, has been shown to decrease as their size approaches the nanometre [130]. Nanoparticles of scale various configurations have similar energies, making shape transformation easy. The type of energy typically used in nanoparticle analysis causes the nanoparticle to change shape [131]. The chemical properties of synthesized nanoparticles are greatly influenced by their complex nature and form. The type of energy typically used in nanoparticle analysis causes the nanoparticle to change shape. The chemical properties of synthesized nanoparticles are greatly influenced by their dynamic nature and form [132].

1.5.8. *Time*

The length of time the reaction medium is incubated has a significant impact on the quality and form of nanoparticles synthesized using green technology [133]. Similarly, the properties of synthesized nanoparticles changed over time and were heavily affected by factors such as the synthesis process, exposure to light, storage conditions, etc. [134, 135]. The study also reported that the green synthesis via plant extract is much faster compared to microorganisms (bacteria, fungi, yeast) as the latter requires a longer incubation period for the synthesis Variations in time may occur in a variety of ways, including particle accumulation as a result of long-term storage; particles can shrink or expand as a result of long-term storage; they may have a shelf life, and so on, all of which affect their potential [136].

1.5.9. Preparation Cost

The costs associated with nanoparticle synthesis must be regulated and monitored in order to promote the future application of nanoparticles in modern-day applications. As a result, the costeffectiveness of the manufacturing process has a significant impact on nanoparticle synthesis. Despite the fact that the chemical method of synthesis produces a high yield in a limited amount of time, it is not cost-effective. As a result, chemical and physical synthesis of nanoparticles may be limited, whereas biological synthesis of nanoparticles is less expensive and can be done on a large scale [15].

1.5.10. Pore Size

The porosity of the synthesized nanoparticles significantly affects the quality and applications of the nanoparticles. The pore size of nanoparticles effects the drug loading and drug release characteristics. The larger the pore size, the drug loading capacity will be higher, release rate will be faster and so the therapeutic efficacy will be increased [137].

1.5.11. Environment

The nature of the synthesized nanoparticles is heavily influenced by the surrounding environment. A single nanoparticle can quickly become core-shell nanoparticles in many environments by absorbing materials or reacting with other materials in the environment through



oxidation or corrosion [138]. The synthesized nanoparticles form a coating in a biological environment, making them thicker and larger in size [139]. The physical structure and chemistry of the synthesized nanoparticles are also affected by the environment. There are a few examples of how the environment affects the composition of nanoparticles that have been synthesized. As the atmosphere of the zinc sulfide nanoparticles was modified from wet to dry, the crystalline nature of the nanoparticles changed instantly. Another example also supports that the presence of peroxide in the solution modifies the chemical nature of cerium nitrate, which in turn indicates that the environment has an effect on the nature of NPs [134].

1.5.12. Proximity

In most cases, when an individual or isolated nanoparticles come into contact with or near the surface of other nanoparticles, their properties are altered. This shifting behavior of nanoparticles can be used to create more precise nanoparticles. The proximity effect of nanoparticles has numerous effects, including substrate interactions, particle charging, and nanoparticle magnetic properties [140].

1.5.13. Other Factors

The plants and microorganisms are rich in secondary metabolites, which have the ability to act as both reducing and stabilizing agents for the synthesis of NPs. But the composition of the various metabolites varies with the type of plant, part of the plant, and the procedure used for the extraction process [141]. Not unlike plants, various microorganisms produce different intracellular and extracellular enzymes that influence nanoparticle synthesis in different amounts [142]. Furthermore, the process used to purify the synthesized nanoparticles may have an effect on the quantity and consistency of the nanoparticles.

1.6. Recent advances

1.6.1. Method or substrate used for green synthesis

1.6.1.1 Biopolymers

Polymers synthesized by living organisms are called biopolymers. They can also be synthesized from renewable resources by polymerization. They are biodegradable polymers made up

14 🛞 🎄 🔊

primarily of organic compounds. Proteins, carbohydrates, DNA, RNA, lipids, peptides, and polysaccharides are examples of biopolymers. Functional groups such as carboxylic, amide I and II, ketone, aldehyde present in the biopolymers assist in the production of NPs. [143-145]

Chitosan is one of the most commonly used biopolymers as a reducing agent in green chemistry. Deacetylation of chitin, a naturally occurring polymer, produces chitosan, a cellulose-like linear polysaccharide. It can be present in a wide variety of insects, fungi, yeasts, and marine invertebrates. [146]

The study was carried out by Esther et al. to investigate the impact of different preparation methods on the size and shape of NPs. In that study, two different preparation methods were employed. The first method involved dissolving chitosan in a boiling HAuCl₄ solution, while the second method involved dissolving a HAuCl₄ solution in hot boiling chitosan. The nucleation rate was rapid and standardized when the HAuCl₄ solution was applied directly to the boiling chitosan solution. However, the rate of nucleation was not uniform when chitosan solution was poured in hot HAuCl₄ as the metal ions were absorbed on the matrix and slowly reduced to the nuclei. NPs of various shapes and sizes were made [147]. In the presence of a plant-based extract, chitosan not only acted as a reducing agent, but also acted as a stabilizing agent.

Furthermore, Saha et al. conducted a study with chitosan and black pepper extract. When both chitosan and plant extract were used at the same time, the stability was improved. They suggested that chitosan acted primarily as a stabilizer by interacting electrostatically with the negatively charged AuNPs and positively charged polymeric network. [148]

1.6.1.2 Enzymes

Due to the numerous disadvantages of microbial bio-reduction processes, researchers have proposed that enzymes could be one of the best possible routes for the synthesis of NPs. They conclude that the existence of enzymes, proteins, carbohydrates, and bio membranes in microbes allows them to minimize AuNPs. However, studies have shown that it takes a longer incubation period for microbes and thus has a slow rate of synthesis compared to the plantbased synthesis [149]. Enzymes are also available in pure form on the market. Purification and processing of NPs would be made easier as a result of this. Nisin peptides, a class-Ia bacteriocin, can be used to synthesize AuNPs via an enzymatic path. *Lactococcus lactis* produces a peptide that contains 34 amino acids. The NPs were spherical in shape and measured 25 nm in diameter. XRD review validated this finding. [150].

1.6.1.3 Green synthesis from vitamins

Vitamin B2 (as reducing and capping agents) was used to create a green mixture of Ag and palladium nano-spheres, nanowires, and nanorods. For the synthesis of nanowires and nanorods, vitamin B2 is used as a reducing agent. This is a novel approach in the field of green nanotechnology in that it proposes the use of natural agents in the development of the field, such as their effects on various tumor cells [151]. Ascorbic acid is used as a capping and reducing agent, and chitosan is used as a stabilizing agent. Since chitosan bonds with metal ions, the concentration of NPs is directly proportional to the chitosan concentration used [152].

The use of ascorbic acid as both a reducing and capping agent for the synthesis of NPs with uniform size was reported. Antioxidants that are water-soluble, such as ascorbic acid, seem to be responsible for the reduction of Ag NPs in *Desmodium triflorum*. Plants generate a significant amount of H^+ ions along with Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD) during glycolysis, which acts as a powerful reducing agent and appears to be helpful in the formation of AgNPs. [153]

1.6.1.4 Microwave-assisted synthesi

By altering the parameters like surfactants, solvent, and metallic precursor, nanowires, tubes, and dendrites can be produced. Spherical NPs can also be produced by this synthetic method [154]. For the production of Ag NPs, carboxyl methyl cellulose sodium is used as a reducing and capping agent. MW union supports homogeneous heating and quick nucleation of noble metal NPs, in contrast to general heating treatment [155]. By using red grape pomace as a reducing agent, a quick NPs generation method (within seconds) for the synthesis of Ag, Au, palladium, and Pt in an aqueous medium by MW irradiation at 50 W was recorded [156].

1.6.2. Recent applications of Au and Ag

1.6.2.1 Water treatment

reduce the quality of pure drinking water and crop irrigation and, in turn, pose various health hazards to humans and other living organisms [157]. Due to the high surface area to volume ratio, enhanced catalytic activity, and chemical stability of green synthesized AgNPs and AuNPs, they serve as the best candidates for water treatment, purification, water monitoring, and agriculture wastewater treatment [158, 159]. Moreover, researchers have learned that NPs have great potential to detect toxic substances and heavy metals such as lead, cadmium, and mercury by incorporating sensors for quick detections [160, 161]. Pesticides present in the water are absorbed onto the NPs, retained on the surface, and then precipitate in the form of the complex. As a result, the water gets purified [162, 163]. The leaf extract of Mussaenda glabrata was used to synthesis AgNPs and AuNPs by Francis et al. to evaluate the antimicrobial activity against the pathogenic microorganisms. The NPs exhibited significant antimicrobial activity against the E. coli, P. aeruginosa, Penicillium chrysogenum, and A.niger. [164]

1.6.2.2 Targeted drug delivery

Due to the high surface area and greater biocompatibility of AuNPs, it has gained major attention in targeted drug delivery systems. NPs are bonded to the drug by either a covalent or noncovalent bond for its delivery at the targeted site. The drug release occurs by photothermal, triggered or ultrasonic method [165]. The drug release is dependent on parameters like pH, temperature, and biomolecule levels in the body. Targeted delivery using AuNPs has gained major attention in the treatment of cancer or tumor tissues than normal cells. Tumor cells, on average, are more temperature-sensitive than normal cells. As a result, drugs capped on AuNPs may be desorbed on the targeted spot during the heating phase, causing the drug and AuNPs to lose their bond. The drug release of glutathione-AuNPs in tumor cells is based on this theory [166]. Another method requires the deposition of layers of AuNPs on drugs using ultrasound radiation. The bond between AuNPs and drugs is broken when these layered AuNP drugs are exposed to ultrasound radiation. Finally, the drug will be released onto AuNPs' surface. One study reported



that antibiotics coated with AuNPs demonstrated bacterial activity against multidrug-resistant bacteria [167]. AuNPs demonstrated potent antibacterial activity against Gram-positive (Staphylococcus aureus) and Gram-negative (Escherichia coli) bacteria using ampicillin-AuNPs as a result of this application. All of the research that used drug-coated AuNPs found that they greatly enhanced drug delivery while also improving performance for a variety of diseases. [167, 168]

1.6.2.3 Cancer treatment

Green synthesized NPs of different designs, compositions, shapes, and sizes have potential against many cancer cells lines through antimetastatic [169], antiproliferative [170] cytotoxicity [171], and apoptosis [172]. Once the tumor is attached to the main blood circulation system, NPs then exploit several features of the newly developed vasculature to effectively attack it. Tumor cells are supplied by blood capillaries that perfuse the tissue's cells, where NPs can passively accumulate or anchor to biomarkers overexpressed in tumor cells by targeting moieties. NPs may serve as therapeutic agents, enhancing radiotherapy, silencing genes, inducing hyperthermia, and delivering drugs to cause tumor cell death, as well as imaging enhancers or contrast agents to aid in real-time tracking of therapeutic effects.

Patra et al. used *Butea monosperma* leaf extract to synthesize Ag and AuNPs, in that leaf extract served as a stabilizing, capping, and reducing agent. The AgNPs and AuNPs generated are biocompatible with cancer cell lines and normal endothelial cells, as well as stable in the biological buffer. The synthesized NPs, in combination with doxorubicin, inhibited cancer cell growth better than the pristine compound [173].

1.6.2.4 Nano-fertilizers

To synchronize nutrient release with plant uptake, AuNPs and AgNPs-based nano fertilizers have been developed. This method helps to preserve soil fertility by reducing nutrient loss, soil and groundwater pollution, and chemical reactions between water, soil, and microorganisms that turn them into toxic substances for plants [174-176]. The study was carried out by Kang et al. to demonstrate the effect of AgNPs fertilizers on the red ginseng shoot. In that study, they applied 5 mg/L AgNPs fertilizer suspension to ginseng shoot 3 times per day at 14 days intervals. They discovered that the nano fertilizer had increased the ginsenoside content after harvesting [177].

Nano encapsulated herbicides and pesticides exhibited improved characteristics in terms of stability, solubility, specificity and permeability as the nano structure coating protects the active substance from early degradation and provides longer periods of pest control [176]. Furthermore, phytopathogens that cause plant disease, such as bacteria and fungi, can be controlled by spraying an NPs solution directly on crops, seed, or foliage to prevent pathogen invasion [178]. El-Aziz et al. synthesized AgNPs from *Fusarium solani* in order to test their effect on grain-borne fungi. According to the findings, 4 percent sprayed NPs solutions resulted in a 0% frequency of fungal pathogens [179].

1.6.2.5 Metal sensing

Heavy metals such as Pb, Fe, Cd, Ni, Hg, Mn, Cr, Co, and Zn are the major pollutants in soil, air, and water. Some heavy metals such as lead, cadmium, copper, and mercury ions have the enormous potential to cause toxicity at trace ppm levels [180, 181]. As a result, identifying toxic metals in the biological and marine ecosystem has become critical for effective remediation [182, 183]. In multi-element analysis, traditional techniques focused on instrumental systems usually have excellent sensitivity. However, the experimental setups are costly, tedious, skillnon-portable. required, and Metallic nanoparticles have been preferred for the detection of heavy metal ions in contaminated water systems due to their tunable size and distance-dependent optical properties [184, 185]. The mercury and lead ions $(Hg^{2+} and Pb^{2+})$ were selectively sensed by AgNPs synthesized from fresh and dried mango leaves. In another study, AgNPs were synthesized from pepper seed extract and green tea extract to demonstrate selective sensing for Hg²⁺, Pb²⁺, and Zn²⁺ ions [186].

1.6.2.6 HIV treatment

The immune system of our body is capable of removing some viral infections itself. However, some of the infections such as herpes, hepatitis, HIV, are long-lasting, persistent, and cannot be



removed. AuNPs are used as a delivery scheme for the improved effectiveness of the anti-HIV activity. The free AuNPs are unproductive against HIV infection. Kesarkar et al. (2012) [187] found that stabilized AuNPs in amino acid L-cysteine resulted in the enhanced azidothymidine delivery against the HIV-1Ba-L virus *in-vitro*.

AuNPs are being used as a delivery system to increase the efficacy of anti-HIV activity. AuNPs exhibit anti-HIV activities due to poly-anionic shallow, which allows binding with the positively charged amino acid at the binding position of glycoprotein GP120 (or gp120). The mechanism of inhibition takes place, and the reverse transcriptase enzyme of HIV-1 protein is blocked by AuNPs. A study carried out by Garrido et al. reported that conjugated AuNPs have better anti-HIV activities than free AuNPs, which don't exhibit anti-HIV activities.

1.7. Conclusion and future prospective

Green synthesis of nanoparticles has gained enormous importance in the field of nanoscience over the conventional methods of nanoparticles synthesis [1]. Green synthesis is an eco-friendly, cost-effective, energy-efficient, rapid and effective method. Generally, the synthesis of a nanoparticle is broadly categorized into two major divisions; top-down approach and bottomup approach. Green synthesis is categorized under the bottom-up approach [6]. Green synthesis involves the use of plants and microorganisms for the synthesis of nanoparticles. However, in the green synthesis, synthesis via plant is preferred over microorganisms as the phytochemical present in the plant is an efficient and rapid process as extracellular and intracellular enzymes present in the microorganisms require a longer incubation period for the synthesis [133]. The studies have reported that intracellular and extracellular enzymes present in microorganisms have the ability to reduce precursor metal salt ions into their respective nanoparticles [87].

In terms of plant, the synthesis process is reported to proceed via three steps, namely activation phase, growth phase, and termination phase [99, 100]. Phytochemicals present in the plants also have the ability to reduce metal ions into nanoparticles. Owing to its high therapeutic efficacy and application in various fields, gold and silver nanoparticles have gained popularity over other nanoparticles. They are used in bioimaging/labeling, anticancer therapy, targeted drug delivery, agriculture, water treatment, nano fertilizers/ nano pesticides/ nano herbicides, catalytic activity, removal of pollutants, heavy metal ion sensing, acaricidal agent, anti-biofouling anti-inflammatory, agent, antileishmanial agent, antimicrobial agent, optics, mechanics, electronics and aeronautics [4, 6, 16]. The antimicrobial activity of gold and silver nanoparticles is determined by disc or well diffusion method, minimum inhibitory minimum bactericidal concentration, and concentration [20]. Various invitro studies have reported the antimicrobial activity of AgNPs and AuNPs against various microbes. Studies also found out that the antimicrobial activity was concentration-dependent [123]. In addition, studies have also reported that the AgNPs have less antimicrobial activity against gram-positive bacteria than gram-negative bacteria [109].

The green synthesis of gold and silver nanoparticles is affected by the parameters such as techniques or methods used, the concentration of substrate, pH, temperature, pressure, time, pore size, preparation cost, particle shape and size, pore size, types of reducing agent and capping agent used, the proximity of other nanoparticles, environment and other factors [15].

Recently, the green synthesis of NPs has gained major attention and importance in the field of nanoscience owing to its diverse applications and relatively small size. However, exact mechanisms for the synthesis are not clearly known. Further studies and research on AuNPs and AgNPs are to clearly understand needed the exact mechanisms of green synthesis. In addition, studies must be focused on the determination of main reducing components so that more precise and suitable methods can be established. Detailed synthesis mechanism should be mastered before implementing it into different fields. NPs hold a brighter future in the fields of medicine, technology, industry, and chemistry. Moreover, NPs hold the greatest potential in biomedical applications. Due to the multi-surface functionality of NPs, it will serve as the best candidate for the targeted delivery of drugs for the effective treatment of diseases with minimal side effects. Also, AuNPs are capable of emitting fluorescence, which can, in turn, be used as the biomarker for diseases. As a result, the disease can be effectively diagnosed. Most of the in-vitro

studies concluded that they are non-toxic and effective. However, more in vivo studies are needed to be conducted to clearly understand the toxicity of NPs, duration of NPs in the body, time of excretion, and effects of residual NPs in the organism. Also, the dosage of NPs needs to be studied so that right and suitable quantities of NPs can be delivered to the patient. Therefore, more detailed and extensive studies and research are needed to be carried out to completely understand and harness the latent potential of AuNPs and AgNPs in the near future.

REFERENCES

- [1] Chaudhury, K., Kandasamy, J., Kumar H S, V., & RoyChoudhury, S, Regenerative nanomedicine: current perspectives and future directions. International Journal of Nanomedicine, 2014, 9, 4153.
- [2] Singh, M., Manikandan, S., & Kumaraguru, A, Nanoparticles: A New Technology with Wide Applications. Research Journal Of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology, 2011, 1(1), 1-11.
- [3] M. Faraday, "Experimental relations of gold (and other metals) to light," Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B, 1987, 147,145–181.
- [4] Iravani, S, Green synthesis of metal nanoparticles using plants. Green Chemistry, 2011, 13(10), 2638.
- [5] Madhumitha, G., Fowsiya, J., & Roopan, S. M, Biological and Biomedical Applications of Eco-Friendly Synthesized Gold Nanoparticles. Green Metal Nanoparticles: Synthesis, Characterization and their Applications, 2018, 217.
- [6] Singh, J., Dutta, T., Kim, K., Rawat, M., Samddar, P., & Kumar, P. 'Green' synthesis of metals and their oxide nanoparticles: applications for environmental remediation. Journal of Nanobiotechnology, 2018, 16(1), 1-24.
- [7] Bogunia-Kubik, K., & Sugisaka, M. From molecular biology to nanotechnology and nanomedicine. Biosystems, 2002, 65(2-3), 123-138.
- [8] Daniel, M. C., & Astruc, D, Gold nanoparticles: assembly, supramolecular chemistry, quantum-size-related properties, and applications toward biology, catalysis,

and nanotechnology. Chemical reviews, 2004, 104(1), 293-346.

- [9] Zharov, V. P., Kim, J. W., Curiel, D. T., & Everts, M, Self-assembling nanoclusters in living systems: application for integrated photothermal nanodiagnostics and nanotherapy. Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology and Medicine, 2005, 1(4), 326-345.
- [10] Castro, L., Blázquez, M. L., Muñoz, J. A., González, F., García-Balboa, C., & Ballester, A, Biosynthesis of gold nanowires using sugar beet pulp. Process Biochemistry, 2011, 46(5), 1076-1082.
- [11] Marshall, A. T., Haverkamp, R. G., Davies, C. E., Parsons, J. G., Gardea-Torresdey, J. L., & van Agterveld, D, Accumulation of gold nanoparticles in Brassic juncea. International journal of phytoremediation, 2007, 9(3), 197-206.
- [12] Song, J. Y., & Kim, B. S, Rapid biological synthesis of silver nanoparticles using plant leaf extracts. Bioprocess and biosystems engineering, 2009, 32(1), 79-84.
- [13] Song, J., Kwon, E., & Kim, B, Biological synthesis of platinum nanoparticles using Diopyros kaki leaf extract. Bioprocess and Biosystems Engineering, 2009, 33(1), 159-164.
- [14] Vilchis-Nestor, A., Sánchez-Mendieta, V., Camacho-López, M., Gómez-Espinosa, R., Camacho-López, M., & Arenas-Alatorre, J, Solventless synthesis and optical properties of Au and Ag nanoparticles using Camellia sinensis extract. Materials Letters, 2008, 62(17-18), 3103-3105.
- [15] Patra, J., & Baek, K, Green Nanobiotechnology: Factors Affecting Synthesis and Characterization Techniques. Journal of Nanomaterials, 2014, 1-12.
- [16] Albrecht, M., Evans, C., & Raston, C, Green chemistry and the health implications of nanoparticles. Green Chemistry, 2006, 8(5), 417.
- [17] Mittal, A., Chisti, Y., & Banerjee, U, Synthesis of metallic nanoparticles using plant extracts. Biotechnology Advances, 2013, 31(2), 346-356.
- [18] Treguer, M., de Cointet, C., Remita, H., Khatouri, J., Mostafavi, M., & Amblard, J. et al, Dose Rate Effects on Radiolytic



18

Synthesis of Gold–Silver Bimetallic Clusters in Solution. The Journal of Physical Chemistry B, 1998, 102(22), 4310-4321.

- [19] Thakkar, K. N., Mhatre, S. S., & Parikh, R. Y. Biological synthesis of metallic nanoparticles. Nanomedicine: nanotechnology, biology and medicine, 2010, 6(2), 257-262.
- [20] Choudhary, R., & Madhuri, R. Eco-Friendly Noble Metal Nanoparticles for therapeutic Applications: Present and Future Scenario. Green Metal nanoparticles: synthesis, characterization and their applications, 2018, 629-665.
- [21] Jayaseelan, C., Ramkumar, R., Rahuman, A. A., & Perumal, P. Green synthesis of gold nanoparticles using seed aqueous extract of Abelmoschus esculentus and its antifungal activity. Industrial Crops and Products, 2013, 45, 423-429.
- [22] Joseph, S., & Mathew, B. Microwaveassisted green synthesis of silver nanoparticles and the study on catalytic activity in the degradation of dyes. Journal of Molecular Liquids, 2015, 204, 184-191.
- [23] Dwivedi, A. D., & Gopal, K. Biosynthesis of silver and gold nanoparticles using Chenopodium album leaf extract. Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, 2010, 369(1-3), 27-33.
- [24] Smitha, S. L., Philip, D., & Gopchandran, K. G. Green synthesis of gold nanoparticles using Cinnamomum zeylanicum leaf broth. Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 2009, 74(3), 735-739.
- [25] Guo, M., Li, W., Yang, F., & Liu, H. Controllable biosynthesis of gold nanoparticles from a Eucommia ulmoides bark aqueous extract. Spectrochimica acta part A: molecular and biomolecular spectroscopy, 2015, 142, 73-79.
- [26] Muniyappan, N., & Nagarajan, N. S. Green synthesis of gold nanoparticles using Curcuma pseudomontana essential oil, its biological activity and cytotoxicity against human ductal breast carcinoma cells T47D. Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering, 2014, 2(4), 2037-2044.
- [27] Franco-Romano, M., Gil, M. L. A.,

Palacios-Santander, J. M., Delgado-Jaén, J. J., Naranjo-Rodríguez, I., De Cisneros, J. H. H., & Cubillana-Aguilera, L. M. Sonosynthesis of gold nanoparticles from a geranium leaf extract. Ultrasonics sonochemistry, 2014, 21(4), 1570-1577.

- [28] Philip, D. Green synthesis of gold and silver nanoparticles using Hibiscus rosa sinensis. Physica E: Low-Dimensional Systems and Nanostructures, 2010, 42(5), 1417-1424.
- [29] Krishnaswamy, K., Vali, H., & Orsat, V. Value-adding to grape waste: Green synthesis of gold nanoparticles. Journal of Food Engineering, 2014, 142, 210-220.
- [30] Basavegowda, N., Idhayadhulla, A., & Lee, Y. R. Phyto-synthesis of gold nanoparticles using fruit extract of Hovenia dulcis and their biological activities. Industrial Crops and Products, 2014, 52, 745-751.
- [31] Yallappa, S., Manjanna, J., & Dhananjaya, B. L. Phytosynthesis of stable Au, Ag and Au–Ag alloy nanoparticles using J. sambac leaves extract, and their enhanced antimicrobial activity in presence of organic antimicrobials. Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 2015, 137, 236-243.
- [32] Philip, D., & Unni, C. Extracellular biosynthesis of gold and silver nanoparticles using Krishna tulsi (Ocimum sanctum) leaf. Physica E: Lowdimensional Systems and Nanostructures, 2011, 43(7), 1318-1322.
- [33] Shankar, S., Jaiswal, L., Aparna, R. S. L., & Prasad, R. G. S. V. Synthesis, characterization, in vitro biocompatibility, and antimicrobial activity of gold, silver and gold silver alloy nanoparticles prepared from Lansium domesticum fruit peel extract. Materials Letters, 2014, 137, 75-78.
- [34] Philip, D. Rapid green synthesis of spherical gold nanoparticles using Mangifera indica leaf. Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 2010, 77(4), 807-810.
- [35] Song, J. Y., Jang, H. K., & Kim, B. S. Biological synthesis of gold nanoparticles using Magnolia kobus and Diopyros kaki leaf extracts. Process Biochemistry, 2009,



44(10), 1133-1138.

- [36] Krishnaswamy, K., & Orsat, V. Insight into the nanodielectric properties of gold nanoparticles synthesized from maple leaf and pine needle extracts. Industrial Crops and Products, 2015, 66, 131-136.
- [37] Suman, T. Y., Rajasree, S. R., Ramkumar, R., Rajthilak, C., & Perumal, P. The Green synthesis of gold nanoparticles using an aqueous root extract of Morinda citrifolia L. Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 2014, 118, 11-16.
- [38] MubarakAli, D., Thajuddin, N., Jeganathan, K., & Gunasekaran, M. Plant extract mediated synthesis of silver and gold nanoparticles and its antibacterial activity against clinically isolated pathogens. Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces, 2011, 85(2), 360-365.
- [39] Shankar, S. S., Rai, A., Ahmad, A., & Controlling the Sastry, M. optical properties of lemongrass extract synthesized gold nanotriangles and potential application in infrared-absorbing optical coatings. Chemistry of Materials, 2005, 17(3), 566-572.
- [40] Ghosh, S., Patil, S., Ahire, M., Kitture, R., Jabgunde, A., Kale, S., & Chopade, B. A. Synthesis of gold nanoanisotrops using Dioscorea bulbifera tuber extract. Journal of Nanomaterials, 2011, 1-8.
- [41] Ankamwar, B., Damle, C., Ahmad, A., & Sastry, M. (2005). Biosynthesis of gold and silver nanoparticles using Emblica officinalis fruit extract, their phase transfer and transmetallation in an organic solution. Journal of nanoscience and nanotechnology, 5(10), 1665-1671.
- [42] Ankamwar, B., Chaudhary, M., & Sastry, M. Gold nanotriangles biologically synthesized using tamarind leaf extract and potential application in vapor sensing. Synthesis and Reactivity in Inorganic, Metal-Organic and Nano-Metal Chemistry, 2005, 35(1), 19-26.
- [43] Huang, J., Li, Q., Sun, D., Lu, Y., Su, Y., Yang, X., & Chen, C. Biosynthesis of silver and gold nanoparticles by novel sundried Cinnamomum camphora leaf. Nanotechnology, 2007, 18(10), 105104.
- [44] Sharma, N. C., Sahi, S. V., Nath, S.,

Parsons, J. G., Gardea-Torresde, J. L., & Pal, T. Synthesis of plant-mediated gold nanoparticles and catalytic role of biomatrix-embedded nanomaterials. Environmental science & technology, 2007, 41(14), 5137-5142.

- [45] Shankar, S. S., Rai, A., Ankamwar, B., Singh, A., Ahmad, A., & Sastry, M. Biological synthesis of triangular gold nanoprisms. Nature materials, 2004, 3(7), 482-488.
- [46] Elia, P., Zach, R., Hazan, S., Kolusheva, S., Porat, Z. E., & Zeiri, Y. Green synthesis of gold nanoparticles using plant extracts as reducing agents. International journal of nanomedicine, 2014, 9, 4007.
- [47] Shankar, S. S., Ahmad, A., Pasricha, R., & Sastry, M. Bioreduction of chloroaurate ions by geranium leaves and its endophytic fungus yields gold nanoparticles of different shapes. Journal of Materials Chemistry, 2003, 13(7), 1822-1826.
- [48] Zayed, M. F., & Eisa, W. H. Phoenix dactylifera L. leaf extract phytosynthesized gold nanoparticles; controlled synthesis and catalytic activity. Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 2014, 121, 238-244.
- [49] Islam, N. U., Jalil, K., Shahid, M., Muhammad, N., & Rauf, A. Pistacia integerrima gall extract mediated green synthesis of gold nanoparticles and their biological activities. Arabian Journal of Chemistry, 2019, 12(8), 2310-2319.
- [50] Paul, B., Bhuyan, B., Purkayastha, D. D., Dey, M., & Dhar, S. S. Green synthesis of gold nanoparticles using Pogestemon benghalensis (B) O. Ktz. leaf extract and studies of their photocatalytic activity in degradation of methylene blue. Materials Letters, 2015, 148, 37-40.
- [51] Muthuvel, A., Adavallan, K., Balamurugan, K., & Krishnakumar, N. Biosynthesis of gold nanoparticles using Solanum nigrum leaf extract and screening their free radical scavenging and antibacterial properties. Biomedicine & Preventive Nutrition, 2014 4(2), 325-332.
- [52] Gopinath, K., Venkatesh, K. S., Ilangovan, R., Sankaranarayanan, K., & Arumugam, A. Green synthesis of gold nanoparticles from leaf extract of Terminalia arjuna, for

20



the enhanced mitotic cell division and pollen germination activity. Industrial crops and products, 2013, 50, 737-742.

- [53] Kumar, K. P., Paul, W., & Sharma, C. P. Green synthesis of gold nanoparticles with Zingiber officinale extract: characterization and blood compatibility. Process Biochemistry, 2011, 46(10), 2007-2013.
- [54] Joseph, S., & Mathew, B. Microwaveassisted green synthesis of silver nanoparticles and the study on catalytic activity in the degradation of dyes. Journal of Molecular Liquids, 2015, 204, 184-191.
- [55] Vijayakumar, M., Priya, K., Nancy, F. T., Noorlidah, A., & Ahmed, A. B. A. (2013). Biosynthesis, characterisation and antibacterial effect of plant-mediated silver nanoparticles using Artemisia nilagirica. Industrial Crops and Products, 2013, 41, 235-240.
- [56] Ibrahim, H. M. Green synthesis and characterization of silver nanoparticles using banana peel extract and their antimicrobial activity against representative microorganisms. Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences, 2015, 8(3), 265-275.
- [57] Bindhu, M. R., & Umadevi, M. Antibacterial and catalytic activities of green synthesized silver nanoparticles. Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 2015, 135, 373-378.
- [58] Kumar, P. V., Pammi, S. V. N., Kollu, P., Satyanarayana, K. V. V., & Shameem, U. Green synthesis and characterization of silver nanoparticles using Boerhaavia diffusa plant extract and their anti-bacterial activity. Industrial Crops and Products, 2014, 52, 562-566.
- [59] Sathishkumar, M., Sneha, K., Won, S. W., Cho, C. W., Kim, S., & Yun, Y. S. Cinnamon zeylanicum bark extract and powder mediated green synthesis of nanocrystalline silver particles and its bactericidal activity. Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces, 2009, 73(2), 332-338.
- [60] Mariselvam, R., Ranjitsingh, A. J. A., Nanthini, A. U. R., Kalirajan, K., Padmalatha, C., & Selvakumar, P. M. Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles

from the extract of the inflorescence of Cocos nucifera (Family: Arecaceae) for enhanced antibacterial activity. Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 2014, 129, 537-541.

- [61] Nazeruddin, G. M., Prasad, N. R., Prasad, S. R., Shaikh, Y. I., Waghmare, S. R., & Adhyapak, P. Coriandrum sativum seed extract assisted in situ green synthesis of silver nanoparticle and its anti-microbial activity. Industrial Crops and Products, 2014, 60, 212-216.
- [62] Muniyappan, N., & Nagarajan, N. S. Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles with Dalbergia spinosa leaves and their applications in biological and catalytic activities. Process biochemistry, 2014, 49(6), 1054-1061.
- [63] Sathiya, C. K., & Akilandeswari, S. Fabrication and characterization of silver nanoparticles using Delonix elata leaf broth. Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 2014, 128, 337-341.
- [64] Ramesh, P. S., Kokila, T., & Geetha, D. Plant mediated green synthesis and antibacterial activity of silver nanoparticles using Emblica officinalis fruit extract. Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 2015, 142, 339-343.
- [65] Pourmortazavi, S. M., Taghdiri, M., Makari, V., & Rahimi-Nasrabadi, M. Procedure optimization for green synthesis of silver nanoparticles by aqueous extract of Eucalyptus oleosa. Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 2015, 136, 1249-1254.
- [66] Ulug, B., Turkdemir, M. H., Cicek, A., & Mete, A. Role of irradiation in the green synthesis of silver nanoparticles mediated by fig (Ficus carica) leaf extract. Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 2015, 135, 153-161.
- [67] Ashokkumar, S., Ravi, S., & Velmurugan, S. Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles from Gloriosa superba L. leaf extract and their catalytic activity, Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 2013, 115, 388-392.



- [68] Philip, D. (2010). Green synthesis of gold and silver nanoparticles using Hibiscus rosa sinensis. Physica E: Low-Dimensional Systems and Nanostructures, 2013, 42(5), 1417-1424.
- [69] Ashokkumar, S., Ravi, S., Kathiravan, V., & Velmurugan, S. RETRACTED: Synthesis of silver nanoparticles using A. indicum leaf extract and their antibacterial activity, Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 2015, 134, 34-39.
- [70] Yallappa, S., Manjanna, J., & Dhananjaya,
 B. L. Phytosynthesis of stable Au, Ag and Au–Ag alloy nanoparticles using J. sambac leaves extract, and their enhanced antimicrobial activity in presence of organic antimicrobials. Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 2015, 137, 236-243.
- [71] Philip, D., & Unni, C. Extracellular biosynthesis of gold and silver nanoparticles using Krishna tulsi (Ocimum sanctum) leaf. Physica E: Lowdimensional Systems and Nanostructures, 2011, 43(7), 1318-1322.
- [72] Shankar, S., Jaiswal, L., Aparna, R. S. L., & Prasad, R. G. S. V. Synthesis, characterization, in vitro biocompatibility, and antimicrobial activity of gold, silver and gold silver alloy nanoparticles prepared from Lansium domesticum fruit peel extract. Materials Letters, 2014, 137, 75-78.
- [73] Ajitha, B., Reddy, Y. A. K., & Reddy, P. S. Green synthesis and characterization of silver nanoparticles using Lantana camara leaf extract. Materials science and engineering: C, 2015, 49, 373-381.
- [74] Cruz, D., Falé, P. L., Mourato, A., Vaz, P. D., Serralheiro, M. L., & Lino, A. R. L. Preparation and physicochemical characterization of Ag nanoparticles biosynthesized by Lippia citriodora (Lemon Verbena). Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces, 2010, 81(1), 67-73.
- [75] Philip, D. Mangifera indica leaf-assisted biosynthesis of well-dispersed silver nanoparticles. Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 2011, 78(1), 327-331.
- [76] Vivekanandhan, S., Schreiber, M., Mason,

C., Mohanty, A. K., & Misra, M. Maple leaf (Acer sp.) extract mediated green process for the functionalization of ZnO powders with silver nanoparticles. Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces, 2014, 113, 169-175.

- [77] Velusamy, P., Das, J., Pachaiappan, R., Vaseeharan, B., & Pandian, K. Greener approach for synthesis of antibacterial silver nanoparticles using aqueous solution of neem gum (Azadirachta indica L.). Industrial crops and products, 2015, 66, 103-109.
- [78] Vijayaraghavan, K., Nalini, S. K., Prakash, N. U., & Madhankumar, D. One step green synthesis of silver nano/microparticles using extracts of Trachyspermum ammi and Papaver somniferum. Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces, 2012, 94, 114-117.
- [79] Jacob, S. J. P., Finub, J. S., & Narayanan, A. Synthesis of silver nanoparticles using Piper longum leaf extracts and its cytotoxic activity against Hep-2 cell line. Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces, 2012, 91, 212-214.
- [80] Mohapatra, B., Kuriakose, S., & Mohapatra, S. Rapid green synthesis of silver nanoparticles and nanorods using Piper nigrum extract. Journal of Alloys and Compounds, 2015, 637, 119-126.
- [81] Sun, Q., Cai, X., Li, J., Zheng, M., Chen, Z., & Yu, C. P. Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles using tea leaf extract and evaluation of their stability and antibacterial activity. Colloids and surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering aspects, 2014, 444, 226-231.
- [82] Ajitha, B., Reddy, Y. A. K., & Reddy, P. S. Biogenic nano-scale silver particles by Tephrosia purpurea leaf extract and their inborn antimicrobial activity. Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 2014, 121, 164-172.
- [83] Gopinath, V., MubarakAli, D., Priyadarshini, S., Priyadharsshini, N. M., Thajuddin, N., & Velusamy, P. Biosynthesis of silver nanoparticles from Tribulus terrestris and its antimicrobial activity: a novel biological approach. Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces,





2012, 96, 69-74.

- [84] Gavade, N. L., Kadam, A. N., Suwarnkar, M. B., Ghodake, V. P., & Garadkar, K. M. Biogenic synthesis of multi-applicative silver nanoparticles by using Ziziphus Jujuba leaf extract. Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 2015, 136, 953-960.
- [85] El-Sherbiny, I. M., & Salih, E. Green synthesis of metallic nanoparticles using biopolymers and plant extracts. Green Metal Nanoparticles: Synthesis, Characterization and Their Applications, 2018, 293-319.
- [86] Gericke, M., & Pinches, A. Microbial production of gold nanoparticles. Gold bulletin, 2006, 39(1), 22-28.
- [87] Iravani, S. Bacteria in nanoparticle synthesis: current status and future prospects. International scholarly research notices, 2014, 1-18.
- [88] Thakkar, K. N., Mhatre, S. S., & Parikh, R. Y. Biological synthesis of metallic nanoparticles. Nanomedicine: nanotechnology, biology and medicine, 2010, 6(2), 257-262.
- [89] Chen, Y. L., Tuan, H. Y., Tien, C. W., Lo, W. H., Liang, H. C., & Hu, Y. C. Augmented biosynthesis of cadmium sulfide nanoparticles by genetically engineered Escherichia coli. Biotechnology progress, 2009, 25(5), 1260-1266.
- [90] Mohanpuria, P., Rana, N. K., & Yadav, S. K. Biosynthesis of nanoparticles: technological concepts and future applications. Journal of nanoparticle research, 2008, 10(3), 507-517.
- [91] Mohanpuria, P., Rana, N. K., & Yadav, S. K. Biosynthesis of nanoparticles: technological concepts and future applications. Journal of nanoparticle research, 2008, 10(3), 507-517.
- [92] Hooykaas, P. J., den Dulk-Ras, A., Bundock, P., Soltani, J., van Attikum, H., & van Heusden, G. P. H. Yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae). In Agrobacterium Protocols, Humana Press, 2006, 2,465-473.
- [93] Mourato, A., Gadanho, M., Lino, A. R., & Tenreiro, R. Biosynthesis of crystalline silver and gold nanoparticles by

extremophilic yeasts. Bioinorganic chemistry and applications, 2011, 1-8

- [94] Sajjad, S., Leghari, S. A. K., Ryma, N. U. A., & Farooqi, S. A. Green Synthesis of Metal-Based Nanoparticles and Their Applications. Green Metal Nanoparticles: Synthesis, Characterization and Their Applications, 2018, 23-77.
- [95] Sneha, K., Sathishkumar, M., Mao, J., Kwak, I. S., & Yun, Y. S. Corynebacterium glutamicum-mediated crystallization of silver ions through sorption and reduction processes. Chemical Engineering Journal, 2010, 162(3), 989-996.
- [96] Kalishwaralal, K., Deepak, V., Ramkumarpandian, S., Nellaiah, H., & Sangiliyandi, G. Extracellular biosynthesis of silver nanoparticles by the culture supernatant of Bacillus licheniformis. Materials letters, 2008, 62(29), 4411-4413.
- [97] Dwivedi, A. D., & Gopal, K. Biosynthesis of silver and gold nanoparticles using Chenopodium album leaf extract. Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, 2010, 369(1-3), 27-33.
- [98] Jha, A. K., Prasad, K., Kumar, V., & Prasad, K. Biosynthesis of silver nanoparticles using Eclipta leaf. Biotechnology progress, 2009, 25(5), 1476-1479.
- [99] Kim, J., Rheem, Y., Yoo, B., Chong, Y., Bozhilov, K. N., Kim, D., & Myung, N. V. Peptide-mediated shape-and size-tunable synthesis of gold nanostructures. Acta Biomaterialia, 2010, 6(7), 2681-2689.
- [100] Si, S., & Mandal, T. K. Tryptophan-based peptides to synthesize gold and silver nanoparticles: a mechanistic and kinetic study. Chemistry–A European Journal, 2007, 13(11), 3160-3168.
- [101] Malik, P., Shankar, R., Malik, V., Sharma, N., & Mukherjee, T. K. Green chemistry based benign routes for nanoparticle synthesis. Journal of Nanoparticles, 2014, 1-14.
- [102] Akhtar, M. S., Panwar, J., & Yun, Y. S. Biogenic synthesis of metallic nanoparticles by plant extracts. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 2013, 1(6), 591-602.
- [103] Gomathi, M., Rajkumar, P. V., Prakasam,



A., & Ravichandran, K. Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles using Datura stramonium leaf extract and assessment of their antibacterial activity. Resource-Efficient Technologies, 2017, 3(3), 280-284.

- [104] Glišić, B. D., & Djuran, M. I. Gold complexes as antimicrobial agents: an overview of different biological activities in relation to the oxidation state of the gold ion and the ligand structure. Dalton Transactions, 2014, 43(16), 5950-5969.
- [105] Cui, Y., Zhao, Y., Tian, Y., Zhang, W., Lü, X., & Jiang, X. The molecular mechanism of action of bactericidal gold nanoparticles on Escherichia coli. Biomaterials, 2012, 33(7), 2327-2333.
- [106] Ahmad, T., Wani, I. A., Lone, I. H., Ganguly, A., Manzoor, N., Ahmad, A., & Al-Shihri, A. S. Antifungal activity of gold nanoparticles prepared by solvothermal method. Materials Research Bulletin, 2013, 48(1), 12-20.
- [107] Herdt, A. R., Drawz, S. M., Kang, Y., & Taton, T. A. DNA dissociation and degradation at gold nanoparticle surfaces. Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces, 2006, 51(2), 130-139.
- [108] Egger, S., Lehmann, R. P., Height, M. J., Loessner, M. J., & Schuppler, M. Antimicrobial properties of a novel silversilica nanocomposite material. Applied and environmental microbiology, 2009, 75(9), 2973-2976.
- [109] Geoprincy, G., Srri, B. V., Poonguzhali, U., Gandhi, N. N., & Renganathan, S. A review on green synthesis of silver nanoparticles. Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and clinical research, 2013, 6(1), 8-12.
- [110] de Jesús Ruíz-Baltazar, Á., Reyes-López, S. Y., Larrañaga, D., Estévez, M., & Pérez, R. Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles using a Melissa officinalis leaf extract with antibacterial properties. Results in physics, 2017, 7, 2639-2643.
- [111] El-Sherbiny, I., El-Shibiny, A., & Salih, E. Photo-induced green synthesis and antimicrobial efficacy of poly (εcaprolactone)/curcumin/grape leaf extractsilver hybrid nanoparticles. Journal Of Photochemistry And Photobiology B:

Biology, 2016, 160, 355-363.

- [112] Pethakamsetty, L., Kothapenta, K., Nammi, H. R., Ruddaraju, L. K., Kollu, P., Yoon, S. G., & Pammi, S. V. N. Green synthesis, characterization and antimicrobial activity of silver nanoparticles using methanolic root extracts of Diospyros sylvatica. Journal of Environmental Sciences, 2017, 55, 157-163.
- [113] Khamhaengpol, A., & Siri, S. Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles using tissue extract of weaver ant larvae. Materials Letters, 2017, 192, 72-75.
- [114] Dutta, T., Ghosh, N. N., Das, M., Adhikary, R., Mandal, V.. & Chattopadhyay, A. P. Green synthesis of antibacterial and antifungal silver nanoparticles using Citrus limetta peel extract: Experimental and theoretical Journal studies. of Environmental Chemical Engineering, 2020, 8(4), 104019.
- [115] Kaushal, J., Bhatti, J., & Kumar, P. Green synthesis and physico-chemical study of silver nanoparticles extracted from a natural source Luffa acutangula. Journal of molecular liquids, 2016, 224, 991-998.
- [116] Ravichandran, V., Vasanthi, S., Shalini, S., Shah, S. A. A., Tripathy, M., & Paliwal, N. Green synthesis, characterization, antibacterial, antioxidant and photocatalytic activity of Parkia speciosa leaves extract mediated silver nanoparticles. Results in Physics, 2019, 15, 102565.
- [117] Ahmed, S., Saifullah, Ahmad, M., Swami, B. L., & Ikram, S. Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles using Azadirachta indica aqueous leaf extract. Journal of radiation research and applied sciences, 2016, 9(1), 1-7.
- [118] Tamilarasi, P., & Meena, P. Green synthesis of silver nanoparticles (Ag NPs) using Gomphrena globosa (Globe amaranth) leaf extract and their characterization. Materials Today: Proceedings, 2020, 33, 2209-2216.
- [119] Anandalakshmi, K., Venugobal, J., & Ramasamy, V. Characterization of silver nanoparticles by green synthesis method using Pedalium murex leaf extract and their antibacterial activity. Applied

Downloaded from civil.iust.ac.ir on 2024-11-14]





Nanoscience, 2016, 6(3), 399-408.

- [120] Ibrahim, H. M. Green synthesis and characterization of silver nanoparticles using banana peel extract and their antimicrobial activity against representative microorganisms. Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences, 2015, 8(3), 265-275.
- [121] Kharissova, O. V., Dias, H. R., Kharisov, B. I., Pérez, B. O., & Pérez, V. M. J. The greener synthesis of nanoparticles. Trends in biotechnology, 2013, 31(4), 240-248.
- [122] Vadlapudi, V., & Kaladhar, D. S. V. G. K. Review: green synthesis of silver and gold nanoparticles. Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research, 2014, 19(6), 834-842.
- [123] Osonga, F. J., Yazgan, I., Kariuki, V., Luther, D., Jimenez, A., Le, P., & Sadik, O. A. Greener synthesis and characterization, antimicrobial and cytotoxicity studies of gold nanoparticles of novel shapes and sizes. RSC advances, 2014, 6(3), 2302-2313.
- [124] J. L. Gardea-Torresdey, K. J. Tiemann, G. Gamez, K. Dokken, and N. E. Pingitore, "Recovery of gold (III) by alfalfa biomass and binding characterization using X-ray microfluorescence," Advances in Environmental Research, 1999, 3, (1), 83– 93.
- [125] Armendariz, V., Herrera, I., Jose-yacaman, M., Troiani, H., Santiago, P., & Gardea-Torresdey, J. L. Size controlled gold nanoparticle formation by Avena sativa biomass: use of plants in nanobiotechnology. Journal of nanoparticle research, 2004, 6(4), 377-382.
- [126] Soni, N., & Prakash, S. Factors affecting the geometry of silver nanoparticles synthesis in Chrysosporium tropicum and Fusarium oxysporum. Am J Nanotechnol, 2011, 2(1), 112-121.
- [127] Pandey, B. D. Synthesis of zinc-based nanomaterials: a biological perspective. IET nanobiotechnology, 2012, 6(4), 144-148.
- [128] Tran, Q. H., & Le, A. T. Silver nanoparticles: synthesis, properties, toxicology, applications and perspectives. Advances in Natural Sciences: Nanoscience and Nanotechnology, 2013, 4(3), 033001.

- [129] Akbari, B., Tavandashti, M. P., & Zandrahimi, M. Particle size characterization of nanoparticles–a practical approach. Iranian Journal of Materials Science and Engineering, 2013, 8(2), 48-56.
- [130] Yacamán, M. J., Ascencio, J. A., Liu, H. B., & Gardea-Torresdey, J. Structure shape and stability of nanometric sized particles. Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology Microelectronics and Nanometer Structures Processing, Measurement, and Phenomena, 2001, 19(4), 1091-1103.
- [131] Baer, D. R., Engelhard, M. H., Johnson, G. E., Laskin, J., Lai, J., Mueller, K., ... & Moon, D. Surface characterization of nanomaterials and nanoparticles: Important needs and challenging opportunities. Journal of Vacuum Science & Technology A: Vacuum, Surfaces, and Films, 2013, 31(5), 050820.
- [132] Darroudi, M., Ahmad, M. B., Zamiri, R., Zak, A. K., Abdullah, A. H., & Ibrahim, N. A. Time-dependent effect in green synthesis of silver nanoparticles. International journal of nanomedicine, 2011, 6, 677.
- [133] Kuchibhatla, S. V., Karakoti, A. S., Baer, D. R., Samudrala, S., Engelhard, M. H., Amonette, J. E., & Seal, S. Influence of aging and environment on nanoparticle chemistry: implication to confinement effects in nanoceria. The Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 2012, 116(26), 14108-14114.
- [134] Mudunkotuwa, I. A., Pettibone, J. M., & Grassian, V. H. Environmental implications of nanoparticle aging in the processing and fate of copper-based nanomaterials. Environmental science & technology, 2012, 46(13), 7001-7010.
- [135] Baer, D. R. Surface Characterization of Nanoparticles: critical needs and significant challenges. J of Surface Anal, 2011, 17, 163-9.
- [136] Li, J., Shen, S., Kong, F., Jiang, T., Tang, C., & Yin, C. Effects of pore size on in vitro and in vivo anticancer efficacies of mesoporous silica nanoparticles. RSC Advances, 2018, 8, 24633–24640.
- [137] Sarathy, V., Tratnyek, P. G., Nurmi, J. T., Baer, D. R., Amonette, J. E., Chun, C. L.,



& Reardon, E. J. Aging of iron nanoparticles in aqueous solution: effects on structure and reactivity. The Journal of Physical Chemistry C, 2008, 112(7), 2286-2293.

- [138] Lynch, I., Cedervall, T., Lundqvist, M., Cabaleiro-Lago, C., Linse, S., & Dawson, K. A. The nanoparticle–protein complex as a biological entity; a complex fluids and surface science challenge for the 21st century. Advances in colloid and interface science, 2007, 134, 167-174.
- [139] Baer, D. R., Amonette, J. E., Engelhard, M. H., Gaspar, D. J., Karakoti, A. S., Kuchibhatla, S., & Wang, C. M. Characterization challenges for nanomaterials. Surface and Interface Analysis: An International Journal devoted to the development and application of techniques for the analysis of surfaces, interfaces and thin films, 2008, 40(3-4), 529-537.
- [140] Park, Y., Hong, Y. N., Weyers, A., Kim, Y. S., & Linhardt, R. J. Polysaccharides and phytochemicals: a natural reservoir for the green synthesis of gold and silver nanoparticles. IET nanobiotechnology, 2011, 5(3), 69-78.
- [141] Haverkamp, R. G., Marshall, A. T., & van Agterveld, D. Pick your carats: nanoparticles of gold–silver–copper alloy produced in vivo. Journal of Nanoparticle Research, 2007, 9(4), 697-700.
- [142] Geng, X., & Grove, T. Z. Repeat protein mediated synthesis of gold nanoparticles: effect of protein shape on the morphological and optical properties. RSC advances, 2015, 5(3), 2062-2069.
- [143] Pienpinijtham, P., Thammacharoen, C., & Ekgasit, S. Green synthesis of size controllable and uniform gold nanospheres using alkaline degradation intermediates of soluble starch as reducing agent and stabilizer. Macromolecular Research, 2012, 20(12), 1281-1288.
- [144] Philip, D. Honey mediated green synthesis of gold nanoparticles. Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy, 2009, 73(4), 650-653.
- [145] Huang, C., Chen, S., & Pan, J. R. Optimal condition for modification of chitosan: a biopolymer for coagulation of colloidal

particles. Water Research, 2000, 34(3), 1057-1062.

- [146] Esther, J., & Sridevi, V. Synthesis and characterization of chitosan-stabilized gold nanoparticles through a facile and green approach. Gold Bulletin, 2017, 50(1), 1-5.
- [147] Saha, S. K., Roy, P., Mondal, M. K., Roy, D., Gayen, P., Chowdhury, P., & Babu, S. P. S. Development of chitosan based gold nanomaterial as an efficient antifilarial agent: A mechanistic approach. Carbohydrate polymers, 2017, 157, 1666-1676.
- [148] Narayanan, K. B., & Sakthivel, N. Facile green synthesis of gold nanostructures by NADPH-dependent enzyme from the extract of Sclerotium rolfsii. Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, 2011, 380(1-3), 156-161.
- [149] Otari, S. V., Kumar, M., Kim, I. W., Lee, J. H., & Lee, J. K. Rapid, thermostable antimicrobial peptide-mediated synthesis gold nanoparticles as highly efficient charge trapping medium for sol-gelderived thin film. Materials Letters, 2017, 188, 375-378.
- [150] Nadagouda, M. N., & Varma, R. S. Green and controlled synthesis of gold and platinum nanomaterials using vitamin B2: density-assisted self-assembly of nanospheres, wires and rods. Green Chemistry, 2006, 8(6), 516-518.
- [151] Shao, Y., Wu, C., Wu, T., Yuan, C., Chen, S., Ding, T., & Hu, Y. Green synthesis of sodium alginate-silver nanoparticles and their antibacterial activity. International journal of biological macromolecules, 2018, 111, 1281-1292.
- [152] Ahmad, N., Sharma, S., Singh, V. N., Shamsi, S. F., Fatma, A., & Mehta, B. R. Biosynthesis of silver nanoparticles from Desmodium triflorum: a novel approach towards weed utilization. Biotechnology research international, 2011.
- [153] Hebbalalu, D., Lalley, J., Nadagouda, M. N., & Varma, R. S. Greener techniques for the synthesis of silver nanoparticles using plant extracts, enzymes, bacteria, biodegradable polymers, and microwaves. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 2013, 1(7), 703-712.



- [154] Li, A. Y., Kaushik, M., Li, C. J., & Moores, A. Microwave-assisted synthesis of magnetic carboxymethyl celluloseembedded Ag–Fe₃O₄ nanocatalysts for selective carbonyl hydrogenation. Acs Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 2016, 4(3), 965-973.
- [155] Baruwati, B., & Varma, R. High value products from waste: grape pomace extract-a three-in-one package for the synthesis of metal nanoparticles. ChemSusChem, 2009, 2(11), 1041-1044.
- [156] Fernández-Luqueño, F., Medina-Pérez, G., López-Valdez, F., Gutiérrez-Ramírez, R., Campos-Montiel, R. G., Vázquez-Núñez, E., & Madariaga-Navarrete, A. Use of Agronanobiotechnology in the Agro-Food Industry to Preserve Environmental Health and improve the Welfare of Farmers. In Agricultural Nanobiotechnology, 2018, 3-16.
- [157] Graily-Moradi, F., Mallak, A. M., & Ghorbanpour, M. Biogenic Synthesis of Gold Nanoparticles and Their Potential Application in Agriculture. Biogenic Nano-Particles and their Use in Agroecosystems, 2020, 187-204.
- [158] Palit, S., & Hussain, C. M. Functionalization of nanomaterials for industrial applications: recent and future perspectives. In Handbook of Functionalized Nanomaterials for Industrial Applications 2020, 3-14.
- [159] Dobrucka, R. Metal nanoparticles in nanosensors for food qualityassurance. LogForum, 2020, 16(2).
- [160] Vinci, G., & Rapa, M. Noble metal nanoparticles applications: Recent trends in food control. Bioengineering, 2019, 6(1), 10.
- [161] Manimegalai, G., Kumar, S. S., & Sharma, C. Pesticide mineralization in water using silver nanoparticles. International Journal of Chemical Sciences, 2011, 9(3), 1463-1471.
- [162] Saifuddin, N., Nian, C. Y., Zhan, L. W., & Ning, K. X. Chitosan-silver nanoparticles composite as point-of-use drinking water filtration system for household to remove pesticides in water. Asian Journal of Biochemistry, 2011, 6(2), 142-159.
- [163] Francis, S., Joseph, S., Koshy, E. P., &

Mathew, Β. Green synthesis and characterization of gold and silver nanoparticles using Mussaenda glabrata environmental leaf extract and their applications to dye degradation. Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 2017, 24(21), 17347-17357.

- [164] Moon, G. D., Choi, S. W., Cai, X., Li, W., Cho, E. C., Jeong, U., & Xia, Y. A new theranostic system based on gold nanocages and phase-change materials with unique features for photoacoustic imaging and controlled release. Journal of the American Chemical Society, 2011, 133(13), 4762-4765.
- [165] Saha, B., Bhattacharya, J., Mukherjee, A., Ghosh, A., Santra, C., Dasgupta, A. K., & Karmakar, P. In vitro structural and functional evaluation of gold nanoparticles conjugated antibiotics. Nanoscale Research Letters, 2007, 2(12), 614-622.
- [166] Pissuwan, D., Valenzuela, S. M., Miller, C. M., & Cortie, M. B. A golden bullet? Selective targeting of Toxoplasma gondii tachyzoites using antibody-functionalized gold nanorods. Nano letters, 2007, 7(12), 3808-3812.
- [167] El-Batal, A. I., Hashem, A. A. M., & Abdelbaky, N. M. Gamma radiation mediated green synthesis of gold nanoparticles using fermented soybeangarlic aqueous extract and their antimicrobial activity. SpringerPlus, 2013, 2(1), 1-10.
- [168] Suman, T. Y., Rajasree, S. R., Kanchana, A., & Elizabeth, S. B. Biosynthesis, characterization and cytotoxic effect of plant mediated silver nanoparticles using Morinda citrifolia root extract. Colloids and surfaces B: Biointerfaces, 2013, 106, 74-78.
- [169] Karuppaiya, P., Satheeshkumar, E., Chao, W. T., Kao, L. Y., Chen, E. C. F., & Tsay, H. S. Anti-metastatic activity of biologically synthesized gold nanoparticles on human fibrosarcoma cell line HT-1080. Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces, 2013, 110, 163-170.
- [170] Firdhouse, M. J., & Lalitha, P. Biosynthesis of silver nanoparticles using the extract of Alternanthera sessilis antiproliferative effect against prostate



cancer cells. Cancer nanotechnology, 2013, 4(6), 137-143.

- [171] Mukherjee, S., Dasari, M., Priyamvada, S., Kotcherlakota, R., Bollu, V. S., & Patra, C. A green chemistry approach for the synthesis of gold nanoconjugates that induce the inhibition of cancer cell proliferation through induction of oxidative stress and their in vivo toxicity study. Journal of Materials Chemistry B, 2015, 3(18), 3820-3830.
- [172] Patra, S., Mukherjee, S., Barui, A. K., Ganguly, A., Sreedhar, B., & Patra, C. R. Green synthesis, characterization of gold and silver nanoparticles and their potential application for cancer therapeutics. Materials Science and Engineering: C, 2015, 53, 298-309.
- [173] Kang, H., Hwang, Y. G., Lee, T. G., Jin, C. R., Cho, C. H., Jeong, H. Y., & Kim, D. O. Use of gold nanoparticle fertilizer enhances the ginsenoside contents and antiinflammatory effects of red ginseng. Journal of microbiology and biotechnology, 2016, 26(10), 1668-1674.
- [174] Solanki, P., Bhargava, A., Chhipa, H., Jain, N., & Panwar, J. Nano-fertilizers and their smart delivery system. In Nanotechnologies in food and agriculture, 2015, 81-101. Springer, Cham.
- [175] Panpatte, D. G., Jhala, Y. K., Shelat, H. N., & Vyas, R. V. Nanoparticles: the next generation technology for sustainable agriculture. In Microbial inoculants in sustainable agricultural productivity 2016, 289-300. Springer, New Delhi.
- [176] Nuruzzaman, M. D., Rahman, M. M., Liu, Y., & Naidu, R. Nanoencapsulation, nanoguard for pesticides: a new window for safe application. Journal of agricultural and food chemistry, 2016, 64(7), 1447-1483.
- [177] Alghuthaymi, M. A., Almoammar, H., Rai, M., Said-Galiev, E., & Abd-Elsalam, K. A. Myconanoparticles: synthesis and their role in phytopathogens management. Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment, 2015, 29(2), 221-236.
- [178] Abd El-Aziz, A. R. M., Al-Othman, M. R., Mahmoud, M. A., & Metwaly, H. A. Biosynthesis of silver nanoparticles using Fusarium solani and its impact on grain borne fungi. Digest Journal of

Nanomaterials and Biostructures, 2015, 10(2), 655-66.

- [179] Mehta, V. N., Kumar, M. A., & Kailasa, S.
 K. Colorimetric detection of copper in water samples using dopamine dithiocarbamate-functionalized Au nanoparticles. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, 2013, 52(12), 4414-4420.
- [180] Que, E. L., Domaille, D. W., & Chang, C. J. Metals in neurobiology: probing their chemistry and biology with molecular imaging. Chemical reviews, 2008, 108(5), 1517-1549.
- [181] Aragay, G., Pons, J., & Merkoçi, A. Recent trends in macro-, micro-, and nanomaterialbased tools and strategies for heavy-metal detection. Chemical reviews, 2011, 111(5), 3433-3458.
- [182] Nolan, E. M., & Lippard, S. J. Tools and tactics for the optical detection of mercuric ion. Chemical reviews, 2008, 108(9), 3443-3480.
- [183] Ray, P. C. Size and shape dependent second order nonlinear optical properties of nanomaterials and their application in biological and chemical sensing. Chemical reviews, 2010, 110(9), 5332-5365.
- [184] Annadhasan, M., Muthukumarasamyvel, T., Sankar Babu, V. R., & Rajendiran, N. Green synthesized silver and gold nanoparticles for colorimetric detection of Hg²⁺, Pb²⁺, and Mn²⁺ in aqueous medium. ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering, 2014, 2(4), 887-896.
- [185] Maiti, S., Barman, G., & Laha, J. K. Detection of heavy metals (Cu²⁺, Hg²⁺) by biosynthesized silver nanoparticles. Applied Nanoscience, 2016, 6(4), 529-538.
- [186] Kesarkar, R., Oza, G., Pandey, S., Dahake, R., Mukherjee, S., Chowdhary, A., et al. Gold nanoparticles: effective as both entry inhibitors and virus neutralizing agents against HIV. J. Microbiol. Biotech. Res. 2012, 2, 276–283.
- [187] Garrido, C., Simpson, C. A., Dahl, N. P., Bresee, J., Whitehead, D. C., Lindsey, E. A., et al. Gold nanoparticles to improve HIV drug delivery. Future Med. Chem. 2015, 7, 1097–1107

Downloaded from civil.iust.ac.ir on 2024-11-14]

